

NEW FORMS OF SOME NEUTROSOPHIC COMPACT SPACES VIA GRILLS

RUNU DHAR¹, SUMA PAUL² & SUPRIYA PAUL³

Department of Mathematics, Maharaja Bir Bikram University, Agartala-799004, Tripura, India.

E-mail: ¹runu.dhar@gmail.com, ²suma40069@gmail.com & ³sp988329@gmail.com

Corresponding author: Runu Dhar¹

E-mail: ¹runu.dhar@gmail.com

RECEIVED : 17 Jan., 2023

PUBLISHED : 1 May, 2023

The purpose of this paper is to introduce some new type of compactness in terms of grill a G in a neutrosophic topological space. We call them neutrosophic ρG - compact space and neutrosophic σG - compact space. We investigate some of their basic properties and characterization theorems. We also study effects of various kinds of functions on them in neutrosophic topological space.

Key Words : Grill, neutrosophic G - compact, neutrosophic Gg - closed, neutrosophic ρG - compact, neutrosophic σG - compact, neutrosophic set, neutrosophic topological space.

2010 AMS Subject Classification No.: 03E72; 54A05; 54A40; 54J05.

INTRODUCTION

Human beings are facing real life problems due to uncertainty. Zadeh [17] introduced the notion of fuzzy set to solve such real life problems due to uncertainty. Thereafter, Atanassov [1] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy set by adding non-membership value along with the membership value. As it was not sufficient to solve all real life problems due to uncertainty on decision making under uncertainty, Smarandache [14] introduced the notion of neutrosophic set (in brief, NS) where each element had three associated defining functions, namely the membership function (T), the non-membership function (F) and the indeterminacy function (I) defined on the universe of discourse X . These three functions are completely independent. Smarandache [15] further investigated on the neutrosophic theory. After the development of the notion of neutrosophic set theory, we can easily take decision to solve the real life problems on decision making. Thereafter, the notion of neutrosophic topological space (in brief, NTS) was first introduced by Salama and Alblowi [11], followed by Salama and Alblowi [12].

Choquet [2] initiated the brilliant notion of a grill. Subsequently, it turned out to be a very convenient tool for various topological and neutrosophic topological investigations. It is found from the literature that in many situations, grills are more effective than certain similar

concepts like nets and filters. The notion of compactness in topological space via grills was introduced by Roy and Mukherjee [10]. Pal *et. al.* [8] introduced the notion of grill in neutrosophic topological space and neutrosophic minimal space. Pal and Dhar [7] introduced the notion of compactness in neutrosophic minimal space. Gupta and Gaur [6] introduced ρG -compact space and σG -compact space in topological space. Further different researchers [3, 4, 9, 13, 16] investigated in neutrosophic topological space. Following their works, we have motivated to introduce and investigate basic properties and results compactness via grills in neutrosophic topological space. Throughout this paper, this shall be denoted by neutrosophic G -compactness. We shall also focus on to construct new types of neutrosophic spaces through grills. The paper indicates different section as follows. The next section briefly focuses some known definitions and results related to neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological space. In section 3, we introduce the notion of neutrosophic ρG -compact space and investigate several basic properties of this space. Section 4 unfolds the notion of neutrosophic σG -compact space and its basic properties in neutrosophic topological space.

PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some basic concepts and results which are relevant for this article.

Definition 2.1. [2] A collection G of nonempty subsets of a set X is called a grill if

- (i) $A \in G$ and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies that $B \in G$ and
- (ii) $A \cup B \in G$ ($A, B \subseteq X$) implies that $A \in G$ or $B \in G$.

Definition 2.2. [10] Let G be a grill on a topological space (X, τ) . A cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of X is said to be a G -cover if there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} U_\alpha \notin G$.

Definition 2.3. [11] Let X be a universal set. A neutrosophic set A in X is a set contains triplet having truthness, falseness and indeterminacy membership values that can be characterized independently, denoted by T_A, F_A, I_A in $[0,1]$. The neutrosophic set is denoted as follows:

$$A = \{(x, T_A(x), F_A(x), I_A(x)) : x \in X$$

and $T_A(x), F_A(x), I_A(x) \in [0,1]\}$ with the condition

$$0 \leq T_A(x) + F_A(x) + I_A(x) \leq 3.$$

The null and full NSs on a nonempty set X are denoted by 0_N and 1_N , defined as follows:

Definition 2.4. [11] The neutrosophic sets 0_N and 1_N in X are represented as follows:

- (i) $0_N = \{<x, 0, 0, 1> : x \in X\}$.
- (ii) $0_N = \{<x, 0, 1, 1> : x \in X\}$.
- (iii) $0_N = \{<x, 0, 1, 0> : x \in X\}$.
- (iv) $0_N = \{<x, 0, 0, 0> : x \in X\}$.
- (v) $1_N = \{<x, 1, 0, 0> : x \in X\}$.

$$(vi) 1_N = \{ \langle x, 1, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}.$$

$$(vii) 1_N = \{ \langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}.$$

$$(viii) 1_N = \{ \langle x, 1, 1, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}.$$

Clearly, $0_N \subseteq 1_N$. We have, for any neutrosophic set A , $0_N \subseteq A \subseteq 1_N$.

Definition 2.5. [11] Let X be a non-empty set and T be the collection of neutrosophic subsets of X . Then T is said to be a neutrosophic topology (in brief, NT) on X if the following properties holds:

$$(i) 0_N, 1_N \in T.$$

$$(ii) U_1, U_2 \in T \Rightarrow U_1 \cap U_2 \in T.$$

$$(iii) \cup_{i \in \Delta} u_i \in T, \text{ for every } \{u_i : i \in \Delta\} \subseteq T.$$

Then (X, T) is called a neutrosophic topological space (in brief, NTS) over X . The members of T are called neutrosophic open sets (in brief, NOS). A neutrosophic set D is called neutrosophic closed set (in brief, NCS) if and only if D^c is a neutrosophic open set.

Definition 2.6. [11] Let (X, T) be a NTS and U be a NS in X . Then the neutrosophic interior (in short, N_{int}) and neutrosophic closure (in short, N_{cl}) of U are defined by

$$N_{int}(U) = \cup \{E : E \text{ is a NOS in } X \text{ and } E \subseteq U\},$$

$$N_{cl}(U) = \cap \{F : F \text{ is a NCS in } X \text{ and } U \subseteq F\}.$$

Remark 2.7. [9] Clearly $N_{int}(U)$ is the largest neutrosophic open set over X which is contained in U and $N_{cl}(U)$ is the smallest neutrosophic closed set over X which contains U .

Proposition 2.8. [11] For any NS B in (X, T) , we have

$$(i) N_{int}(B^c) = (N_{cl}(B))^c.$$

$$(ii) N_{cl}(B^c) = (N_{int}(B))^c.$$

Definition 2.9. [11] Let X be a neutrosophic topological space. A subcollection G (not containing 0_N) of $P(X)$ is called a grill on X if G satisfies the following conditions:

$$(i) A \in G \text{ and } A \subseteq B \text{ implies } B \in G.$$

$$(ii) A, B \subseteq X \text{ and } A \cup B \in G \text{ implies that } A \in G \text{ or } B \in G.$$

Definition 2.10. [5] Let G be a grill on a neutrosophic topological space (X, T) . A cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of X is said to be a neutrosophic G -cover if there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X \setminus \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} U_\alpha \notin G$.

Definition 2.11. [5] Let G be a grill on a neutrosophic topological space (X, T) . Then (X, T) is said to be neutrosophic compact with respect to the grill G or simply neutrosophic G -compact if every open cover of X is a neutrosophic G -cover.

NEUTROSOPHIC ρG -COMPACT SPACES

In this section, we introduce the notion of ρG - compact space and investigate some of its basic properties in neutrosophic topological space.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with a grill G and A be a neutrosophic subset of X . Then A is said to be neutrosophic ρG -compact if for every family $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of neutrosophic open subsets of X , if $A - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$, then there exists finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $A - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$. The grill neutrosophic topological space (X, T, G) is said to be neutrosophic ρG -compact if X is neutrosophic ρG -compact.

It is obvious that if (X, T, G) is neutrosophic ρG -compact then (X, T, G) is neutrosophic G -compact.

Definition 3.2. A neutrosophic subset A of a grill neutrosophic topological space (X, T, G) is said to be neutrosophic Gg -closed if for every $U \in T$, if $A - U \notin G$, then $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$.

It is easy to check if A is neutrosophic Gg -closed then A is neutrosophic g -closed.

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with a grill G and B be a base for T . Then (X, T, G) is neutrosophic ρG -compact if and only if all family $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of neutrosophic open sets in B , if $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$, then there exists finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$.

Proof. Necessary part is obvious.

For sufficiency, let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. For all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, there exists a family $\{W_{\alpha\beta} \in \Lambda_\alpha\}$ of elements in B such that $V_\alpha = \cup_{\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha} W_{\alpha\beta}$. Given that $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \cup_{\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha} W_{\alpha\beta} \notin G$ and (X, T, G) is neutrosophic ρG - compact, there exists $W_{\alpha_1\beta_1}, W_{\alpha_2\beta_2}, \dots, W_{\alpha_n\beta_n}$ such that $X - \cup_{i=1}^n W_{\alpha_i\beta_i} \notin G$. But $X - \cup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} \subseteq X - \cup_{i=1}^n W_{\alpha_i\beta_i}$ and so $X - \cup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} \notin G$.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with a grill G . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) (X, T, G) is a neutrosophic ρG - compact.
- (ii) (X, T_G, G) is a neutrosophic ρG - compact.
- (iii) For any family $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of neutrosophic closed subsets of X , if $\cap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha \notin G$, then there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ , such that $\cap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} F_\alpha \notin G$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). This is easy to prove.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (i). Obvious, since T_G is finer than T .

(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). We know that $B = \{U - A : U \in T \text{ and } A \notin G\}$ is a base for the neutrosophic topology T_G . Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non-empty neutrosophic open subsets in B such that $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, there exists $W_\alpha \in T$ and $A_\alpha \notin G$ such that $V_\alpha = W_\alpha - A_\alpha$. Since $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} W_\alpha \notin G$, then there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} W_\alpha \notin G$. Now $(X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha) \subseteq (X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} W_\alpha) \cup (\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} A_\alpha) \notin G$ and so $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$.

Therefore (X, T_G, G) is a neutrosophic ρG - compact.

Theorem 3.5. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with a grill G and $A \subseteq X$ be neutrosophic Gg - closed set. Then A is neutrosophic ρG - compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of neutrosophic open subsets in A such that $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Since A is Gg -closed, $cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha$. Then $X = (X - cl(A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha$ and so $X - [(X - cl(A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha] = 0_N \notin G$. Given that X is neutrosophic ρG -compact. So there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$. Then $X - [(X - cl(A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha] \notin G$. But $X - [(X - cl(A)) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha] = cl(A) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$ and since $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \subseteq cl(A) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$, we have $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$ implies A is neutrosophic ρG -compact.

Theorem 3.6. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with a grill G . If A and B are two neutrosophic ρG -compact subsets of the space (X, T, G) , then $A \cup B$ is neutrosophic ρG -compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $(A \cup B) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Since $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \subseteq (A \cup B) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha$ and $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \subseteq (A \cup B) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha$, therefore $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$ and $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Hence there exist finite subsets Λ_1 and Λ_2 of Λ with $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_1} V_\alpha \notin G$ and $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_2} V_\alpha \notin G$. This implies that $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2} V_\alpha \notin G$ and $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2} V_\alpha \notin G$ and so $(A \cup B) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2} V_\alpha \notin G$.

Theorem 3.7. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with a grill G and $A \subseteq X$. Suppose that for all $U \in T$, if $A - U \notin G$, then there exist $B \subseteq X$ such that B is neutrosophic ρG -compact, $A \subseteq B$ and $B - U \notin G$. Then A is neutrosophic ρG -compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. There exists $B \subseteq X$ such that B is neutrosophic ρG -compact, $A \subseteq B$ and $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Then there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$. Since $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \subseteq B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$, we have $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$.

Theorem 3.8. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with a grill G and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$, $B \subseteq cl(A)$ and A be neutrosophic Gg -closed. Then A is neutrosophic ρG -compact if and only if B is neutrosophic ρG -compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Then $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Given that A is neutrosophic ρG -compact. So there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$. Since A is neutrosophic Gg -closed, $cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$ and so $cl(A) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$. This implies $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$.

Conversely, let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Given that A is neutrosophic Gg -closed, so $cl(A) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha = 0_N \notin G$. This implies $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Since B is neutrosophic ρG -compact, there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$. Hence $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$.

Theorem 3.9. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with a grill G and (X, T) be Hausdorff. If A is neutrosophic ρG -compact subset of X , then A is neutrosophic closed in (X, T_G) .

Proof. Let A be neutrosophic ρG -compact subset of a Hausdorff grill neutrosophic topological space (X, T, G) . Let $x \notin A$. Then for each $y \in A$, there exist there exist

neighbourhoods U_x and V_y of x and y respectively such that $U_x \cap V_y = 0_N$. Note that $x \notin cl(V_y)$. Now $\{V_y : y \in A\}$ is a neutrosophic T - open cover of A . Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of neutrosophic open subsets of X . Given that A is neutrosophic ρG -compact. So there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $A - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin G$. As $x \notin cl(V_y)$, so for each y implies $x \notin \cup_{y \in \Lambda_0} cl(V_y) = cl(\cup_{y \in \Lambda_0} V_y)$. Let $U = X - cl(\cup_{y \in \Lambda_0} V_y)$ and $J = A - cl(\cup_{y \in \Lambda_0} V_y) \subseteq A - (\cup_{y \in \Lambda_0} V_y) = G_1$ (say) with $G_1 \notin G$. Then $U - J \in T_G(x)$ and $(U - J) \cap A = 0_N \Rightarrow x \notin 0_N(A)$. Hence $0_N(A) \subseteq A$, so A is neutrosophic closed in (X, T_G) .

Theorem 3.10. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G and (X, T, G) is neutrosophic ρG -compact. Let (X, T) and (Y, T_1) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. If $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, T_1)$ is a neutrosophic continuous function and $H = \{B \subseteq Y : f^{-1}(B) \in G\}$, then the following hold:

- (i) H is a grill on Y .
- (ii) (Y, T_1, H) is neutrosophic ρG - compact.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $A \subseteq B \subseteq Y$ and $A \in H$. Since $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(B) \in G$, then $f^{-1}(B) \in G$ and so $B \in H$. Now if $A \notin H$ and $B \notin H$ then $f^{-1}(A) \notin G$ and $f^{-1}(B) \notin G$ and then $f^{-1}(A \cup B) = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B) \notin G$. This implies $A \cup B \notin G$.

(ii) Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of Y such that $Y - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin H$. Since $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) = f^{-1}(Y - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha) \notin G$. Given that (X, T, G) is neutrosophic ρG - compact. Hence there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $f^{-1}(Y - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha) = X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \in G$. Thus $Y - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \in H$.

Theorem 3.11. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G such that (X, T, G) is neutrosophic ρG - compact. Let (X, T) and (Y, T_1) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. If $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, T_1)$ is a neutrosophic continuous bijective function, then $(Y, T_1, f(G))$ is neutrosophic ρG - compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of Y such that $Y - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \in f(G)$. There exists $H \in G$ with $Y - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha = f(H)$. Then $H = f^{-1}(f(H))$ and $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \notin G$. Given that (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG - compact. Therefore there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $f^{-1}(Y - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha) = X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \notin G$. Since f is bijective and K is a grill on Y , then the set $f^{-1}(K) = \{f^{-1}(J) : J \in K\}$ is a grill on X .

Theorem 3.12. Let (Y, T_1, H) be neutrosophic ρH - compact and (X, T) and (Y, T_1) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. Let $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, T_1)$ be a neutrosophic continuous function. Then $(X, T, f^{-1}(H))$ is neutrosophic $\rho f^{-1}(H)$ - compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin f^{-1}(H)$. There exists $J \in H$ with $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha = f^{-1}(J)$. Then $Y - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(V_\alpha) = f(f^{-1}(J)) = J \in H$. Given that (Y, T_1, H) is neutrosophic ρH - compact. Therefore there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $f(X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha) = Y - f(\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha) \notin H$. This implies that $X - \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha \notin f^{-1}(H)$.

NEUTROSOPHIC σG -COMPACT SPACE

In this section, we introduce the notion of σG - compact space and investigate some basic properties in neutrosophic topological space.

Definition 4.1. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G and $A \subseteq X$. Then A is said to be neutrosophic σG -compact space if for every family $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of neutrosophic open subsets of X if $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$, then there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$. The space (X, T, G) is said to be neutrosophic σG -compact space if X is neutrosophic σG -compact space.

We note that if (X, T, G) is a neutrosophic topological grill space and (X, T_G, G) is neutrosophic σG -compact, then (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG -compact. Also (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG -compact if and only if for any family $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of neutrosophic closed subsets of X , if $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} F_\alpha \notin G$, then there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} F_\alpha = 0_N$.

It is clear that if (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG -compact then (X, T, G) is neutrosophic ρG -compact and (X, T) is neutrosophic compact.

Theorem 4.2. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G and B a base for T . Then (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG -compact if and only if for every family $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of neutrosophic open sets in B , if $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$, then there exists, finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$.

Proof. Necessary part is easy to proof. Conversely, let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. For all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, there exists a family $\{W_{\alpha\beta} : \beta \in \Lambda_\alpha\}$ of elements in B such that $V_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha} W_{\alpha\beta}$. Given that $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{\beta \in \Lambda_\alpha} W_{\alpha\beta} \notin G$ and (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG -compact, there exist $W_{\alpha_1\beta_1}, W_{\alpha_2\beta_2}, \dots, W_{\alpha_r\beta_r}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r W_{\alpha_i\beta_i}$. But $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r W_{\alpha_i\beta_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^r V_{\alpha_i} \subseteq X$ and so $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r V_{\alpha_i}$.

Now we investigate the behavior of subspaces of a neutrosophic σG -compact space.

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G . Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic σG -compact space and $A \subseteq X$ be Gg -closed, then A is neutrosophic σG -compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Since A is neutrosophic Gg - closed, $cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha$. Then $X = (X - cl(A)) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha)$ and so $X - [(X - cl(A)) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha)] = 0_N \notin G$. Given that X is neutrosophic σG -compact. Then there exists, finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X = (X - cl(A)) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha)$. Then $A = A \cap [(X - cl(A)) \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha)] = [A \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha] \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$.

Theorem 4.4. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G . If A and B are neutrosophic σG -compact subsets of the space (X, T, G) , $A \cup B$ is neutrosophic σG -compact.

Proof. This proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 4.5. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G . If A and B are neutrosophic σG -compact subsets of the space (X, T, G) , $A \cup B$ is neutrosophic σG -compact.

Proof. This proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 4.6. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq cl(A)$. Then the following hold:

- (i) If A is neutrosophic g -closed and neutrosophic σG -compact, then B is neutrosophic σG -compact.
- (ii) If A is neutrosophic Gg -closed and B is neutrosophic σG -compact, then A is neutrosophic σG -compact.

Proof. (i) Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Then $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Given that A is neutrosophic σG -compact. So there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$. Since A is neutrosophic g -closed, $cl(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$ and this implies $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$.

(ii) Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non-empty neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Given that A is neutrosophic Gg -closed. So $cl(A) - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha = 0_N \notin G$. This implies $B - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Since B is neutrosophic σG -compact, therefore there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$. Hence $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$.

Theorem 4.7. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G such that (X, T) is Hausdorff. If A is neutrosophic σG -compact subset of X , then A is neutrosophic closed in (X, T_G) .

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 4.8. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G such that (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG -compact. If $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, T_1)$ is a neutrosophic continuous subjective function and if $H = \{B \subseteq Y : f^{-1}(B) \notin G\}$, then (Y, T_1, H) is neutrosophic σH -compact.

Proof. In Theorem 3.10, we prove that H is a grill on Y . Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of Y such that $Y - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin G$. Since $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) = f^{-1}(Y - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha) \notin G$ and (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG - compact, therefore there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$. Given that f is surjective. So we have $Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$.

Theorem 4.9. Let (X, T, G) be a neutrosophic topological space with respect to a grill G such that (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG - compact. Let (X, T) and (Y, T_1) be two neutrosophic topological spaces. If $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, T_1)$ is a neutrosophic continuous bijective, then $(Y, T_1, f(G))$ is neutrosophic $\sigma f(G)$ - compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non - empty neutrosophic open subsets of Y such that $Y - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin f(G)$. There exists $H \in G$ with $Y - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \neq f(H)$. Then $H = f^{-1}(f(H))$ and $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \notin G$. Given that (X, T, G) is neutrosophic σG - compact. Therefore there

exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$. Since f is bijective, so we have $Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$.

Theorem 4.10. Let (X, T) and (Y, T_1) be two neutrosophic topological spaces and $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, T_1)$ be a neutrosophic bijective and open function. Also (Y, T_1, H) is neutrosophic σH -compact space. Then $(X, T, f^{-1}(H))$ is neutrosophic $\sigma f^{-1}(H)$ -compact.

Proof. Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a family of non-empty neutrosophic open subsets of X such that $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha \notin f^{-1}(H)$. There exists $K \in H$ with $X - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} V_\alpha = f^{-1}(K)$. Then $Y - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(V_\alpha) = f(f^{-1}(K)) = K \notin H$. Given that (Y, T_1, H) is neutrosophic σH -compact. Therefore there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f(V_\alpha)$. This implies that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} V_\alpha$.

REFERENCES

1. Atanassov, K. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **20**, 87-96 (1986).
2. Choquet, G. Sur les notions de Filtrée grille. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci.*, **224**, 171-173 (1947).
3. Das, R. and Tripathy, B. C. Neutrosophic multiset topological space. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **35**, 142-152 (2020).
4. Das, S. and Tripathy, B. C. Pairwise neutrosophic b -open set in neutrosophic bitopological spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **38**, 135-44 (2020).
5. Dhar, R. and Pal, G. A note on neutrosophic compact space via grills. *Journal of Tripura Mathematical Society* (accepted).
6. Gupta, M. K. and Gaur, M. New forms of compactness via grills. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, **48** (4), 270-275 (2017).
7. Pal, G. and Dhar, R. Compactness in neutrosophic minimal spaces. *Journal of Tripura Mathematical Society*, **22**, 68-74 (2020).
8. Pal, G., Dhar, R. and Tripathy, B. C. Minimal structures and grill in neutrosophic topological Spaces. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **51**, 134-145 (2022).
9. Pal, G., Tripathy, B. C. and Dhar, R. On continuity in minimal structure neutrosophic topological space, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **51**, 360-370 (2022).
10. Roy, B. and Mukherjee, M. N. On a type of compactness via grills. *Math. Vesnik*, **59**, 113-120 (2007).
11. Salama, A. A. and Alblowi, S. A. Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological space. *ISOR J Math*, **3** (4), 31-35 (2012).
12. Salama, A. A. and Alblowi, S. A. Generalized neutrosophic set and generalized neutrosophic topological space. *Comp. Sci. Engg.*, **21**, 29-132 (2012).
13. Salama, A. A., Smarandache, F. and Alblowi, S. A. New neutrosophic crisp topological concepts. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **2**, 50-54 (2014).

14. Smarandache, F. Neutrosophy. Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic, Pro Quest Information & Learning, Ann Arbor. *Michigan, USA*, 105 (1998).
15. Smarandache, F. A Unifying Fieldinlogics, Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and Logic. *American Research Press*, (1999).
16. Smarandache, F. Neutrosophic set : a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **24**, 287-297 (2005).
17. Zadeh, L. A. Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, **8**, 338-353 (1965).

□