

## EXISTENCE OF MEASURABLE SELECTOR EFFORS MEASURABLE, SCALARLY MEASURABLE SELECTOR OF MEASURABLE MULTIFUNCTIONS

DR. S.K. PANDEY

*Deptt. of Mathematics, Govt. College Maihar, Satna (M.P.), India*

AND

DR. R.S. PATEL

*Deptt. of Mathematics, Govt. Auto. P.G. College, Satna (M.P.), India*

RECEIVED : 30 April, 2014

We study the existence of measurable selectors for multifunctions whose values are weekly compact subset of a Banach Space. One side we characterize multifunction having strongly measurable selector on the other side we prove that every scalarly measurable multi function admits measurable selectors. We try to establish relation between measurable selector, efforts measurable and scalarly measurable selector. Our work is extension of Cascales, Kodet & Rodrigues [2].

**KEY WORDS:** Multifunction measurable selector, Banach Space efforts measurable, Labesgue dominated convergence theorem.

### INTRODUCTION

Let us suppose  $p$  be a projection from  $Y \times X$  onto  $Y$ ,  $B \subset Y \times X$  aset and define  $\Omega = p(B)$ . A uniformization of  $B$  is a function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $(t, f(t)) \in B$  for each  $t \in \Omega$ . Notice that with the aid of the axiom of choice such a uniformization  $f$  always exists. The problem is how nice can  $f$  be choosen when  $B$  is nice ? For instance if  $B$  is Borel measurable ( $Y$  and  $X$  are topological spaces) can  $f$  be chosen being Borel measurable? The study of the existence of nice uniformizations for Borel sets when  $Y = X = \Omega = [0, 1]$  attracted the attention of leading mathematicians from the very begning of the  $XX$  century such as Baire, Borel, Hadamard, Labesgue, Von Neumann, Novikov, Kondo, Yankov, Luzin, Scerpinski etc. and precipitated the birth and flourishing of the descriptive set theory. More recent authors contributing to this topic are amongst others, Kuratowski, Ryll Nardzewski, Sion, Larman Mauldni, Pol, Saint-Raymond etc. Notice that for our given  $B$  we naturally can define the multifunction  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$  that at each  $t \in \Omega$  is given by  $F(t) = \{x \in X: (t, x) \in B\}$  with this language properties of  $B$  are just properties of the graph of  $F$  defined as  $\text{Graph}(F) = \{(t, x) : t \in \Omega, x \in F(t)\}$  and uniformization of  $B$  is just a selector of  $F$  i.e. a single valued function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(t) \in F(t)$  for each  $t \in \Omega$ . When dealing with general multifunctions, the domain  $\Omega$  is usually a measurable or a topological space and the range  $X$  is usually a topological space. In this setting analysts, topologist and applied mathematicians soon realized that many times when one needs to find a nice selector  $f$  for  $F$ , the starting point is not a hypothesis about  $\text{Graph}(F)$ .

B. Calcales, Kadets, V. and Rodrigues, J. [2, 3] Chistyakov, V.V. [4] Darinka Dentcheva [5] Dragan Djurcic, Ljubisa D.R. Kocinac [6] Pandey S.K. [10] are eminent authors in this field. Throughout in this paper  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete finite measure space and  $X$  is a real Banach space. By  $2^X$  we denote the family of all non-empty subset of  $X$  and by  $\text{cl}(X)$ ,  $K(X)$ ,  $wk(X)$  and  $cwk(X)$ . We denote respectively the subfamilies of  $2^X$  made up of norm closed, norm compact weakly compact and convex, weakly compact subsets of  $X$ .

A multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$  that satisfies property (1.1) in following theorem Kuratowski- Ryll Nordzewski [9] is said to be Effors measurable. A single valued function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$  is strongly measurable if it is the  $\mu$  almost every where limit of sequence of  $\Sigma$ -simple  $X$ -valued functions defined in  $\Omega$ . Let us assume that  $X$  is separable and take a multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \text{cl}(X)$  Effors measurable, then apply Kuratowski- Ryll Nordzewski's theorem to produce a  $\Sigma$ -Borel measurable selector  $f$  of  $F$  and then with the help of Pettis measurability theorem  $f$  is strongly measurable.

**Theorem (Kuratowski-Ryll Nordzewski's) :** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space and  $X$  a separable metric space. Let  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$  be a multifunction with complete non-empty values satisfying that

$$\{t \in \Omega : F(t) \cap G \neq \emptyset\} \in \Sigma \quad \dots (1.1)$$

For each open set  $G \subset X$  then  $F$  admits a  $\Sigma$ -Borel( $X$ ) measurable selector  $f$ .

**3. Notation, Definitions and Propositions :** For the real Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  we denote by  $B_X$  the close unit ball and  $S_X$  the unit sphere. For a set  $D \subset X$  we define

$$\text{diam}(D) = \sup_{x, y \in D} \|x - y\|$$

and we denote by  $\text{co}(D)$  the convex hull of  $D$ . Given a multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$  and  $C \subset X$ , we write

$$F^{-1}(C) = \{t \in \Omega : F(t) \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$$

**Definition 3.1 :** We say a multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$  satisfied property  $(p)$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $A \in \Sigma^+$  there exists  $B \in \Sigma_A^+$  and  $D \subset X$  with  $\text{diam}(D) \leq \varepsilon$  such that  $F(t) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  for every  $t \in B$ . Let  $\Sigma^+$  the family of all  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , given  $A \in \Sigma^+$  the collection of all subsets of  $A$  belonging to  $\Sigma^+$  is denoted by  $\Sigma_A^+$ .

**Proposition 3.2 :** For a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$  the following statements are equivalent

- (i)  $f$  satisfies property  $(P)$
- (ii) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $S^+$  there exists  $B \in \Sigma_A^+$  with  $\text{diam}(f(B)) \leq \varepsilon$ .
- (iii)  $f$  is strongly measurable

**Proposition 3.3 :** Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$  be a multifunction

- (i) If there exists a multifunction  $G : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X$  satisfying property  $(p)$  such that  $G(t) \subset F(t)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e  $t \in \Omega$ , then  $F$  satisfies property  $(P)$  as well.
- (ii) If  $F$  admits strongly measurable selectors, then  $F$  satisfies property  $(p)$ .

**Proposition 3.4 :** Suppose that  $X$  is separable. Let  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \text{wk}(X)$  be a multifunction.

The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $F$  is Effors measurable.
- (ii)  $F^{-1}(\omega) \in \Sigma$  for every set  $\omega \subset X$  which can be written as a finite intersection of closed half spaces.

## MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 4.1 :** Let us suppose  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \omega k(X)$  is measurable multifunction admits a strongly measurable selector, satisfies property (p), then there exists a set of measure zero  $\Omega_0 \in \Sigma$ , a separable subspaces  $Y \subset X$  and a multifunction  $G : \Omega \setminus \Omega_0 \rightarrow \omega k(Y)$  that is Effors measurable and such that  $G(t) \subset F(t)$  for every  $t \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0$ .

**Proof :** In order to prove this, we took following steps :

**Step 1:** Let us combining property (p) and a standard exhaustion argument, we can find a countable partition (up to a  $\mu$ -null set)  $\Omega_1 = (A_n, 1)$  of  $\Omega$  in  $\Sigma^+$  and a sequence  $(D_n, 1)$  of subset of  $X$  with  $\text{diam}(D_n, 1) \leq \varepsilon_1$  such that  $f(t) \cap D_n, 1 \neq \emptyset$  for every  $t \in A_n, 1$  and every  $n \in N$ .

Observe that the set  $V_{n, 1, 1} = \bigcap_{t \in A_n, 1} (f(t) + \varepsilon_1 Bx)$  contains  $D_n, 1$  and so it is nonempty for every  $n \in N$ . The set  $E_1 = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{n \in N} V_{n, 1, 1} \in \Sigma$  has measure zero. The same argument, but now with  $\varepsilon_2$  instead of  $\varepsilon_1$  allows us to find a countable partition  $\Omega_2 = (A_n, 2)$  of  $\Omega$  in  $\Sigma^+$  such that the  $V_{n, 2, 2} = \bigcap_{t \in A_n, 2} (f(t) + \varepsilon_2 Bx)$  is the non empty for every  $n \in N$  since  $\varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2$  we also have  $V_{n, 2, 1} = \bigcap_{t \in A_n, 2} (f(t) + \varepsilon_1 Bx) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $n \in N$ . Again the set  $E_2 = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{n \in N} V_{n, 2, 1} \in \Sigma$  has measure zero.

In this we can find a sequence  $\Gamma_m = (A_n, m)$  of countable partitions (upto a  $\mu$ -null set  $E_m$ ) of  $\Omega$  in  $\Sigma^+$  such that the sets  $V_{n, m, k} = \bigcap_{t \in A_n, m} (f(t) + \varepsilon_k Bx)$  for every  $k \leq m$  and every  $n \in N$ . clearly the set  $\Omega_0 = \bigcup_{m \in N} E_m$  has measure zero.

Take  $v_{n, m, k} \in V_{n, m, k}$  for every  $k \leq m$  and every  $n \in N$  and let  $Y$  be a closed linear space of all the  $v_{n, m, k}$ 's so that  $Y$  is separable. Since  $F$  has weakly compact values, it is clear that each  $W_{n, m, k} = V_{n, m, k} \cap Y$  is weakly closed and non empty set.

Given  $k \leq m$ , set  $F_{m, k} : \Omega \setminus \Omega_0 \rightarrow 2^Y$  by  $F_{m, k} = \bigcup_{n \in N} W_{n, m, k} / A_{n, m}$  observe that for each set  $C \subset Y$  we have  $F_{m, k}^{-1}(C) \in \Sigma$ .

Given  $k \in N$ , we define  $F_k : \Omega \setminus \Omega_0 \rightarrow 2^Y$  by  $F_k(t) = \text{clw}(\bigcup_{m \geq k} F_{m, k}(t))$  it is easy to see that for each weakly open set  $U \subset Y$  we have  $F_k^{-1}(U) \in \Sigma$ .

**Step 2 :** Fix  $t \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0$ . For each  $m \in N$ , let  $n_m(t) \in N$  be such that  $t \in A_{n_m}(t)$ . Observe that for each  $k \in N$  we have  $F_k(t) \supset F_{k+1}(t)$  because the inequality  $\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq \varepsilon_k$  allow us to write

$$\bigcup_{m \geq k+1} F_{m, k+1}(t) = \bigcup_{m \geq k+1} \bigcap_{s \in A_{n_m}(t), m} (F(s) + \varepsilon_{k+1} Bx) \cap Y$$

$$\subset \bigcup_{m \geq \kappa} \bigcap_{s \in Ann(t), m} (F(s) + \varepsilon_k Bx) \cap Y = \bigcup_{m \geq \kappa} F_m, k(t)$$

Set  $G(t) = \bigcap_{k \in N} (F_k(t))$ . We will prove that the weakly closed set  $G(t)$  is non empty and contained in  $F(t)$ .

We have

$$G(t) \subset F_k(t) = \text{clw} \left( \bigcup_{m \geq \kappa} \bigcap_{s \in Ann(t), m} (F(s) + \varepsilon_k Bx) \cap Y \right) \subset F(t) + \varepsilon_k Bx \quad \dots(4.1.1)$$

for each  $k \in N$ , we take  $x_k \in F_k(t)$  and write  $x_k = y_k + z_k$  with  $y_k \in F(t)$  and  $z_k \in \varepsilon_k Bx$ . Since the sequence  $\langle y_k \rangle$  is contained in the weakly compact set  $F(t)$ , it has a weak cluster point  $y \in F(t)$ . Since  $z_k \rightarrow 0$  in norm as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $y$  is also a weak cluster point of  $(x_k)$ .

Taking into account that  $F_{k+1}(t) \subset F_k(t)$  for all  $k \in N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{It follows that} \quad y &\in \bigcap_{k \in N} F_k(t) = G(t) \\ &\Rightarrow G(t) \subset F(t). \end{aligned}$$

**Step 3:** It follows that  $G$  is a multifunction on  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_0$  taking values in  $wk(Y)$ .

Now we shall prove  $G$  is efforts measurable.

In order to prove this we use proposition 3.4 let us fix  $W \subset Y$  of the form

$$w = \bigcap_{i=1}^p \{y \in Y : y_i^*(y) \leq a_i\} \text{ where } y_i^* \in Y^* \text{ and } a_i \in R \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq p. \text{ for each } k \in N.$$

We define

$$O_k = \bigcap_{i=1}^p \{y \in Y : y_i^*(y) < a_i + 1/k\} \text{ each } O_k \text{ is weakly open in } Y \text{ and so } F_k^-(O_k) \in \Sigma.$$

We observe that  $O_{k+1} \subset O_k$  for all  $k \in N$  and that  $W = \bigcap_{k \in N} O_k$ . We claim that

$$G^{-1}(W) = \bigcap_{k \in N} F_k^{-1}(O_k) \in \Sigma$$

Conversely, let us take  $t \in \bigcap_{k \in N} F_k^{-1}(O_k)$ . Select a point  $x_k \in F_k(t) \cap O_k$  for all  $k \in N$ .<sup>1</sup>

Since  $F(t)$  is weakly compact, the sequence  $\langle x_k \rangle$  has a weak cluster point  $x \in G(t)$ .

$$\text{Moreover} \quad x \in \bigcap_{k \in N} \overline{O_k} = \bigcap_{k \in N} O_k = w.$$

It follow that  $t \in G^{-1}(w)$ . This proves the claim and show that  $G$  is efforts measurable.

The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 4.2 :** Let  $F :: \Omega \rightarrow k(X)$  be a scalarly measurable multifunction. Then  $F$  admits a scalarly measurable selector.

**Proof :** In order to prove this theorem, we follow below lemma and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.

**Lemma 4.2.1:**

Given  $C \in \cap wk(X)$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ .

We write  $C^{x^*} = \{x \in C : x^*(x) = \max x^*(C)\}$

$C_{/x^*} = \{x \in X : x^*(x) = \min x^*(C)\}$

Observe that  $C^{-x^*} = C_{/x^*}$  and that both  $C^{x^*}$  and  $C_{/x^*}$  belong to  $wk(x)$ .

Let  $F :: \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  be a scalarly measurable multifunction and  $x^* \in X^*$  then  $F^{x^*}$  and  $F_{/x^*}$  are scalarly measurable.

We divide the proof into two cases :

**Particular case-** Let us assume there is  $M > 0$  such that for each  $x^* \in Sx^*$ , we have

$$|\delta^*(x^*, F)| \leq M \quad \mu - a.e.$$

Clearly assumption ensures that for each  $x^* \in Sx^*$ , we have  $|\delta^*(x^*, F)| \leq M \quad \mu - a.e.$  and that  $\Delta F \leq 2M < \infty$ . Let us define a sequence of scalarly measurable multifunction  $F_n :: \Omega \rightarrow k(X)$  with  $F_n(t) \supset F_{n+1}(t)$  for every  $n \in N$  and every  $t \in \Omega$ . Set  $F_1 = F$  and if  $F_n$  is already defined then set  $F_{n+1} = F_n^{x_n^*}$  where  $x_n^* \in S_{x^*}$  is selected in such a way that

$$\int_w d^*(x_n^*, F_n) - d_*(x_n^*, F_n) dm^3 DF_n/2 \quad \dots (4.2.1.1)$$

By above lemma 4.2.1, each  $F_n$  is scalarly measurable. The multifunction  $G : \Omega \rightarrow k(X)$  given by  $G(t) = \cap_{n \in N} F_n(t)$  is scalarly measurable by following lemma 4.2.2.

**Lemma 4.2.2 :**  $F_n : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  be a sequence of scalarly measurable multifunction such that  $F_n(t) \supset F_{n+1}(t)$  for every  $n \in N$  and every  $t \in \Omega$ . Then the multifunction  $G : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  given by  $G(t) = \cap_{n \in N} F_n(t)$  is scalarly measurable. And we have  $G(t) \subset F(t)$  for all  $t \in \Omega$ .

In order to prove in the particular case we are dealing with it is sufficient to show that  $\Delta G = 0$ . We will prove this by contradiction.

Let us assume if it is possible that  $\Delta G > 0$ .

Then for each  $n \in N$ . We have  $\Delta F_n \geq \Delta G > 0$  and equation (4.2.1.1) yields

$$\int_{\Omega} d^*(x_n^*, F_n) - d_*(x_n^*, F_n) dm^3 DG/2 > 0$$

By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, there is a point  $t_0 \in \Omega$  at which the function  $\delta^*(x_n^*, F_n) - \delta_*(x_n^*, F_n)$  does not tend to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Set  $\varepsilon_n = \delta^*(x_n^*, F_n)(t_0) - \delta_*(x_n^*, F_n)(t_0)$  for every  $n \in N$ . By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $\inf_{n \in N} \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon > 0$  for each  $n \in N$ .

We pick  $x_n \in F_n(t_0)$  with  $x_n^*(x_n) = \delta_*(x_n^*, F_n)(t_0)$ .

Then given  $m > n$ , we have  $x_m \in F_m(t_0) \subset F_{n+1}(t_0) = F_n|_{x_n^*(t_0)}$

and so

$$x_n^*(x_m) = \delta^*(x_n^*, F_n)(t_0).$$

hence

$$\|x_m - x_n\| \geq x_n^*(x_m - x_n) = \varepsilon_n \geq \varepsilon.$$

Since all  $x_n$ 's belong to the norm compact set  $F(t_0)$ . We reach a contradiction that finishes the proof of this case. **Proved**

**Theorem 4.3 :** Every scalarly measurable multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  admits a scalarly measurable selector.

**Proof :** We will prove this theorem in two steps:

**First step.** We will prove this theorem by cotradiction. Let us suppose if it is possible there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\Delta G > 0$  of every scalarly measurable multifunction  $G : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  such that  $G(t) \subset F(t)$  for all  $t \in \Omega$ . We define recurrently for each  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{<N}$  a functional  $x_\sigma^* \in S_{x^*}$  and a scalarly measurable multifunction  $F_\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  with  $F_\sigma(t) \subset F(t)$  for all  $t \in \Omega$ .

Since  $\Delta F > \varepsilon$ , We can find  $x^* \in S_{x^*}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} d^*(x^*, F) - \delta_*(x^*, F) d\mu > \varepsilon$$

Set  $F(0) = F|_{x^*}$  and  $F(1) = F|^{x^*}$  so that both  $F(0)$  and  $F(1)$  are scalarly measurable by lemma 4.2.1

Assume that for some  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{<N}$  the multifunction  $F_\sigma$  is already constructed. Then  $\Delta F_\sigma > \varepsilon$  and we can select  $x_\sigma^* \in S_{x^*}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta^*(x_\sigma^*, F_\sigma) - \sigma_*(x_\sigma^*, F_\sigma) d\mu > \varepsilon \quad \dots (4.3.1)$$

Then we set  $F_{\sigma \wedge 0} = F_\sigma|_{x_\sigma^*}$  and  $F_{\sigma \wedge 1} = F_\sigma|^{x_\sigma^*}$  which are scalarly measurable by lemma 4.2.1. Let us fix  $n \in N$  and define the measurable function  $a_n : \Omega \rightarrow R$  by

$$a_n(t) = 1/2^n \sum_{\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^n} (\sigma^*(x_\sigma^*, F_\sigma)(t) - \sigma_*(x_\sigma^*, F_\sigma)(t))$$

Clearly for each  $n \in N$  we have  $|a_n| \leq 2M \mu$ -a.e

Moreover given any  $t \in \Omega$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(t) = 0$$

By Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a_n d\mu = 0$$

However for each  $n \in N$ , by inequality (4.2.1.1) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} a_n d\mu = 1/2^n \sum_{\sigma \in \{0,1\}^n} \int_{\Omega} \delta^*(x_{\sigma}^*, F_{\sigma}) d\mu > \varepsilon$$

This contradiction finishes the proof of the first step.

**Step 2:** By the first step, we can find a scalarly measurable multifunction  $F_1 : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  such that  $F_1(t) \subset F(t)$  for all  $t \in \Omega$  and  $\Delta F_1 < 0$ . Again the first step applied to  $F_1$  ensures the existence of a scalarly measurable multifunction  $F_2 : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  such that  $F_2(t) \subset F_1(t)$  such that  $t \in \Omega$  and  $\Delta F_2 \leq 1/2$ .

In this way we can find a sequence of scalarly measurable multifunction  $F_n : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  with  $\Delta F_n \leq 1/n$  such that  $F_{n+1}(t) \subset F_n(t)$  for every  $t \in \Omega$ .

Then the multifunction  $G : \Omega \rightarrow wk(X)$  given by  $G(t) = \bigcap_{n \in N} F_n(t)$  is scalarly measurable (by lemma 4.2.2) and  $\Delta G = 0$  because  $0 \leq \Delta G \leq \Delta F_n$  for every  $n \in N$  consequently every selector of  $G$  (which in turn is a selector of  $F$ ) is scalarly measurable.

Theorem is proved.

**Application:** Application of this result is in the following area of mathematics:

- (1) Control theory:
- (2) Game theory :
- (3) Differential inclusion:
- (4) Mathematical models in economy.
- (5) Integration of multifunction.

## REFERENCES

1. Barabti, A. and Hess, C., The largest class of closed convex valued multifunction for which efforts measurability and scalar measurability coincide, *Set Valued Anal.*, **6**, No. **3**, 209-236 (1998).
2. Cascales, B., Kadets, V. and Rodriguez, J., Measurable selectors and Set valued Pettis integral in non-separable Banach spaces, *J. Function Anal.*, **256**, No. **3**, 673-699 (2009).
3. Cascales, B., Kadets, V. and Rodriguez, J., Measurability and Selections of multifunction in Banach Space.
4. Chistyakov, V.V., Selections of bounded variations, *Journals of Applied Analysis*, Vol, **(10)**, No. **1**, pp 1-82 (2004).
5. Dragan Djurcic, Ljubisa, Kocinac, D. R., *A note on Convergence in Measure and Selections Principles*, Filon at 26/03/2012 pp 473-477 (2012).
6. Darinka, Dentcheva, *Regular Selections of Multi Functions and Random Sets* (2005).
7. Jayne, J.E, Orihuela, J., and Pallares, A.J., Vera, A.,  $\sigma$  fragmentability of multivalued maps and selection theorems, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **117**, No. **2**, pp 243-273 (1993).
8. Klein, E. and Thompson, A.C., *Theory of Correspondences Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts*, Jhon Wiley & Sons Inc. Newyork (1984).

9. Kuratowski, K. and Ryll-Nardzewski, A genera theorem on Selectors, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom Phys.*, **13** pp. 397-403 (1965).
10. Pandey, S.K. and Singh, D.K., Existence of Mesurable selectors in pettis integrable multi-functions. *J. Comp. and Maths. Sci.*, Vol. **5 (1)**, pp 79-83 (2014).

