#### **BOOLEAN LIKE NEAR RINGS**

#### Ms. K. PUSHPALATHA

Andhra Loyola Institute of Engineering & Technology, Vijayawada -08 (A.P.), India

#### AND

#### Prof. Y.V. REDDY

Retd. Professor of ANU, Guntur (A.P.) India

RECEIVED : 20 September, 2013

The concepts of Boolean like near-ring and special boolean like ring are introduced. It is shown that these two concepts are equivalent. An example of a Boolean like near ring which is not a Boolean like ring is furnished. It is also shown that a special boolean like ring with identity is a boolean like ring and conversly. It is proved that the set I of all nilpotent elements in a boolean like near-ring N is an ideal and N/I is a boolean ring. Finally it is proved that a proper ideal in a special boolean-like ring R with  $R^2 = R$  is maximal if and only if it is prime. In addition, some interesting results are proved.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):16A76; secondary 06E20, 16A30, 16A32

**KEYWORDS**: Boolean like near ring, Boolean near-ring, Special Boolean like ring, Boolean like ring, Boolean like semiring, Left (right) weak commutativity.

# INTRODUCTION

In [2] Foster, A.L. introduced the concept 'Boolean ring'. He extended many properties, both ring and logical, of Boolean rings to Boolean like rings. Swaminathan, V. [9] continued the study of Boolean-like rings initiated by A.L. Foster. He investigated some aspects of the ideal theory of Boolean like rings and also obtained a subdirect product representation of Boolean-like rings in terms of two element fields and particular fourelement Boolean-like rings. In [5] K. Venkateswarlu *et al* introduced the concept of Boolean-like semi ring which is a generalization of Boolean-like rings of A.L. Foster and obtained various properties of ideals.

In this paper we introduce the concept of Boolean-like near ring, which is a generalization of Boolean like ring. An example of a Boolean-like near-ring, which is not a Boolean-like ring is given. In section 3, it is proved that a Boolean-like near-ring is a Boolean near-ring if and only if it is a Boolean ring. In section 4, we prove that every Boolean like near-ring with identity is a Boolean-like ring. In addition we prove that if a Boolean-like near-ring N has no nonzero idempotent elements; then N is a zero ring.

In section 5, we prove that in a Boolean like near-ring N the set I of all nilpotent elements of N forms an ideal and N/I is a Boolean ring. Further the set J of all idempotent elements of N

forms a subnear-ring. We also prove that every Boolean-like near-ring is a commutative ring. In section 6, we introduce the concept of special Boolean-like ring and prove that a near-ring is a Boolean-like near-ring if and only if it is a special Boolean-like ring. In addition, we prove that in a special Boolean-like ring R such that  $R^2 = R$ , an ideal  $P \neq R$  is maximal if and only if it is prime.

Throughout the paper we consider only left near-rings. Henceforth, by a near-ring we mean a left near-ring.

## Preliminaries

(left) near-ring is a nonempty set N together with two binary operations + and . such that (i) (N, +) is a group, (ii) (N, .) is a semigroup and (iii)  $n_1 (n_2 + n_3) = n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_3$  for all  $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in N$  (left distributive law) [7]. If we take  $(n_1 + n_2) n_3 = n_1 n_3 + n_2 n_3$  instead of (iii), we get a (right) near-ring. A (right) near ring N is called a boolean (right) near ring if  $n^2 = n$  for all  $n \in N$  [7]. D.J. Hansen and Jiang Luh [3] proved that every boolean (right) near-ring satisfies (right) weak commutative law: xyz = xzy for all x, y, z. According to Foster, A.L. [9] a boolean like ring is a commutative ring with unity 1 and is of characteristic 2 with a(1 + a) b(1 + b) = 0 for all a, b. As per Venkateswarlu, K. *et al* [5] a boolean like semiring is a (left) near-ring such that a + a = 0 for all a, and (ii) ab (a + b + ab) = ab for all a, b. As per Subrahmanyam, N.V. [8], a boolean semiring is a (left) boolean near-ring N such that (N, +) is abelian.

Throughout the paper, it will be assumed that the near-rings are (left) near-rings.

### **Basic definitions and results**

 $\mathbf{W}$ e now introduce the concept of a Boolean like near-ring.

**Definition 3.1:** A near-ring N is said to be a Boolean like near ring if the following conditions hold:

- (i) a + a = 0 for all  $a \in N$  (*i.e.*, Characteristic of N is 2)
- (ii) ab(a+b+ab) = ba, for all  $a, b \in N$ , and
- (iii) abc = acb, for all  $a, b, c \in N$ . (right weak commutative law)

It is well known that every Boolean ring with unity is a Boolean like ring and every Boolean like ring is a Boolean like near ring.

Following example shows that every Boolean like near-ring, need not, in general be a Boolean like ring.

**Example 3.2 :** Let  $N = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be the Klein's four group. Addition and multiplication are given in the following tables [3].

0	а	b	С		•	Ø	а	b	С	
0	а	b	С	(	0	0	0	0	0	
а	0	С	b	C	а	0	а	0	а	
b	С	0	а		b		0	0	0	
с	b	а	0		с		0	а	0	
	0 0 a b c	0 a 0 a a 0 b c c b	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & a & b \\ a & 0 & c \\ b & c & 0 \\ c & b & a \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						

Then N is a Boolean like near ring but not a Boolean like ring.

Let us recall that a near ring N is called a Boolean near ring if  $a^2 = a$ , for all  $a \in N$ .

We show that the conditions (i) and (ii) of def 1.1 are equivalent for boolean near-rings.

**Theorem 3.3 :** A Boolean near-ring N has characteristic 2 if and only if ab(a + b + ab) = ba for  $a, b \in N$ .

**Proof:** Hansen and Luh [3] proved that a (left) Boolean near-ring satisfies (left) weak commutative law,

*i.e.*, 
$$abc = bac$$
.

Suppose N has characteristic 2 then

ab (a + b + ab) = aba + abb + abab= baa + abb + aabb (by left weak commutativity *i.e.*, abc = bac) = ba + ab + ab= ba (since N has characteristic 2)

Conversly, suppose that ab(a + b + ab) = ba, for all  $a, b \in N$ 

By taking b = a, we get that

$$aa (a + a + aa) = aa$$
  

$$\Rightarrow aaa + aaa + aaaa = aa$$
  

$$\Rightarrow a + a + a = a$$
  

$$\Rightarrow a + a = 0$$

Therefore, N is of characteristic '2'.

It is well known that a Boolean ring is a Boolean (left) near-ring satisfying the right weak commutative law. The converse is proved in the following:

Lemma 3.4 : A Boolean near-ring N with right weak commutativity is a Boolean ring.

**Proof:** By [3], a Boolean near ring satisfies (left) weak commutative law *i.e.*, abc = bac. Therefore, aba = baa = ba by (left) weak commutative law.

Also, ab = aab = aba by (right) weak commutative law.

Therefore, ab = ba, for all  $a, b \in N$ .

Hence multiplication in N is commutative.

By using two distributive laws, we have

$$(a + b) (b + a) = (a + b) b + (a + b) a$$
  
=  $ab + bb + aa + ba$  ... (1)  
 $(a + b) (b + a) = a (b + a) + b (b + a)$ 

$$= ab + aa + bb + ba \qquad \dots (2)$$

From (1) and (2),

 $\Rightarrow$ 

ab + bb + aa + ba = ab + aa + bb + bab + a = a + b

Therefore, addition is commutative.

Hence N is a Boolean ring.

By the above lemma, we have the following:

**Corollary3.5 :** A Boolean near-ring satisfies (right) weak commutative law if and only if it is a Boolean ring.

Since every Boolean like near-ring satisfies the (right) weak commutative law, we get the following:

**Corollary 3.6 :** A Boolean near-ring is a Boolean like near-ring if and only if it is a Boolean ring.

## **ELEMENTARY RESULTS**

In this section, N stands for a Boolean like near-ring, unless otherwise stated. We prove some interesting results which are useful in proving the main theorem.

In section 3, we mentioned that every Boolean like ring is a Boolean like near-ring with identity. We prove in this section the converse, namely every Boolean like near ring having an identity element is a Boolean like ring.

**Lemma 4.1** : If  $a \in N$ , then  $a^2$  is an idempotent.

**Proof :** By def, ab(a+b+ab) = ba for  $a, b \in N$ .

Substituting b = a, we get that aa (a + a + aa) = aa *i.e.*, aaaa = aa and so  $a^4 = a^2$ Therefore,  $a^2 = a^4 = (a^2)^2$ . Thus  $a^2$  is an idempotent.

Therefore, a = a = (a). Thus a is an idempotent.

**Corollary 4.2**: If  $a \in N$ , then *a* is nilpotent iff  $a^2 = 0$ 

**Proof :** If *a* is nilpotent, then  $a^k = 0$  for some k > 1.

Choose an even integer m > 1 such that  $a^m = 0$ . Then m = 2n, for some  $n \ge 1$ 

Therefore  $0 = a^m = a^{2n} = (a^2)^n = a^2$ , since  $a^2$  is an idempotent. Converse is trivial.

Note 4.3: Since characteristic of N is 2, addition in N is commutative.

**Lemma 4.4**: For any  $a, b \in N$ ,  $(a+b)^2 = (a+b)^2 (a^2+b^2)$ 

**Proof**: By lemma 4.1 and by using the definition 3.1 repeatedly, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b)^2 &= (a+b)^4 = (a+b)^2 (a+b)^2 = (a+b)^2 [(a+b) a + (a+b) b] \\ &= (a+b)^2 [(a+b) a + b (a+b)] \\ &= (a+b)^2 [a (a+b) [a+a+b+a (a+b)] + (a+b)^2 [(a+b) b [a+b+b+(a+b) b] \\ &= (a+b)^2 [(a+b) a [b+(a+b) a] + (a+b)^2 [(a+b) b [a+(a+b) b] \\ &= (a+b)^2 [(a+b) ab + (a+b)^2 a^2] + (a+b)^2 [(a+b) ba + (a+b)^2 b^2] \\ &= (a+b)^2 [(a+b) ab + (a+b)^2 (a^2+b^2) + (a+b) ab] \\ &= (a+b)^2 [(a+b)^2 (a^2+b^2)] \\ &= (a+b)^2 (a^2+b^2) \\ &= (a+b)^2 (a^2+b^2) \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 4.5**: If  $a \in N$ , then  $a + a^2$  is nilpotent. **Proof :** By lemmas 4.4 and 4.1  $(a + a^2)^2 = (a + a^2)^2 (a^2 + a^4) = (a + a^2)^2 (a^2 + a^2) = 0$ , Therefore  $(a + a^2)$  is nilpotent. **Corollary 4.6 :** If  $a, b \in N$ , then  $(a + a^2) (b + b^2) = 0$  **Proof :** We know that xy(x + y + xy) = yx, for all  $x, y \in N$ .

Therefore

$$(a + a^{2}) (b + b^{2}) = (b + b^{2}) (a + a^{2}) (b + b^{2} + a + a^{2} + (b + b^{2}) (a + a^{2}))$$
$$= (b + b^{2})^{2} (a + a^{2}) + (b + b^{2}) (a + a^{2})^{2} + (b + b^{2})^{2} (a + a^{2})^{2} = 0,$$

since  $(c + c^2)^2 = 0$ , for all  $c \in N$ , by corollaries 4.5 and 4.2.

We now prove that every Boolean like near-ring with identity is a boolean like ring.

**Corollary 4.7 :** If *N* has the identity 1, then *N* is a Boolean like ring.

**Proof :** By definition, abc = acb for  $a, b, c \in N$ . Then 1bc = 1cb and this implies that bc = cb.

Thus multiplication is commutative. Since the characteristic of N is 2, (N, +) is an abelian group.

So, *N* is a commutative ring with 1.

For  $a, b \in N$ ,  $a(1+a)b(1+b) = (a+a^2)(b+b^2) = 0$  [by cor.4.6]

Therefore *N* is a Boolean like ring.

**Corollary 4.8**: If *a* and *b* are nilpotent elements in *N*, then ab = 0

**Proof :** Since a and b are nilpotent, by corollary 4.2,  $a^2 = 0$  and  $b^2 = 0$ 

Again by corollary 4.6,  $(a + a^2)(b + b^2) = 0$  and this implies that ab = 0.

**Lemma 4.9 :** If *N* has no nonzero idempotent elements, then ab = 0 for all  $a, b \in N$ 

**Proof**: If  $a \in N$ , then by lemma 4.1,  $a^2$  is an idempotent. By hypothesis,  $a^2 = 0$ 

Therefore a is nilpotent. Thus, every element of N is nilpotent. Hence

If  $a, b \in N$ , then a and b are nilpotent elements and by corollary 4.8, ab = 0.

Therefore, ab = 0 for  $a, b \in N$ .

**Corollary 4.10:** If *N* has no nonzero idempotent elements, then *N* is a trivial ring.

### Main theorem

In this section, N stands for a Boolean like near-ring. We prove in the section that the set I of all nilpotent elements of N is an ideal and N/I is a Boolean ring. Main result of the section is that every Boolean like near-ring is a commutative ring.

From now onwards, we consider nontrivial near-rings. So, by cor. 4.10, we may assume that N contains nonzero idempotent elements.

We now prove the main theorem. Before that we prove some results.

**Lemma 5.1:** For any  $b \in N$ ,  $b^2$  is a central idempotent.

**Proof :** By lemma 4.1  $b^2$  is an idempotent element.

By def 3.1 ac(a + c + ac) = ca, for all  $a, c \in N$ .

Substituting  $c = b^2$  in this equation, we get that  $ab^2 (a + b^2 + ab^2) = b^2 a$ . Then  $ab^2 a + ab^4 + ab^2 ab^2 = b^2 a$ , and by lemma 4.1, this implies that  $a^2 b^2 + ab^2 + a^2 b^2 = b^2 a$ . Thus,  $ab^2 = b^2 a$ .

**Theorem 5.2 :** The map  $\varphi : N \to N$  defined by  $\varphi(a) = a^2$  for all  $a \in N$  is a near-ring homomorphism.

**Proof**: For  $a, b \in N \varphi (a+b) = (a+b)^2$ .

By using lemma 4.4, lemma 5.1, def 3.1(iii) and note 4.3

We get that

$$(a+b)^{2} = (a+b)^{2} (a^{2}+b^{2}) = (a+b)^{2} a^{2} + (a+b)^{2} b^{2}$$
  

$$= a^{2} (a+b)^{2} + b^{2} (a+b)^{2}$$
  

$$= a^{2} [(a+b) (a+b)] + b^{2} [(a+b) (a+b)]$$
  

$$= a^{2} [(a+b) a + (a+b) b] + b^{2} [(a+b) a + (a+b) b)$$
  

$$= a [a^{2} (a+b) + ab (a+b)] + b [ba (a+b) + b^{2} (a+b)]$$
  

$$= a [a^{3} + a^{2}b + a^{2}b + ab^{2}] + b [ba^{2} + b^{2}a + b^{2}a + b^{3}]$$
  

$$= [a^{4} + a^{2} b^{2}] + [b^{4} + b^{2} a^{2}]$$
  

$$= [a^{4} + a^{2} b^{2} + b^{4} + b^{2} a^{2}] = a^{4} + b^{4} = a^{2} + b^{2} = \varphi (a) + \varphi (b)$$

Therefore,  $\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ 

Also  $\phi(ab) = (ab)^2 = (ab)(ab) = abab = a^2 b^2 = \phi(a) \phi(b)$ 

Therefore  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.

**Lemma 5.3** : If  $a \in N$ , then a can be represented uniquely as a = n + e, where n is nilpotent and e is an idempotent.

**Proof :** By corollaries 4.5, 4.1 and def 3.1,  $a = (a + a^2) + a^2$  where  $(a + a^2)$  is nilpotent and  $a^2$  is an idempotent.

Suppose a = n + e, where *n* is nilpotent and *e* is an idempotent.

By lemma 5.1, *e* is a central element.

Then, 
$$a^2 = (n+e)^2 = (n+e)^2 (n^2+e^2) = (n+e)^2 e^2 = [e (n+e)]^2 = (en+e)^2$$
  
=  $(en+e) (en+e) = (en+e) en + (en+e) e = en (en+e) + e (en+e)$   
=  $e^2n^2 + e^2n + e^2n + e^2 = e$ 

Therefore,  $e = a^2$ . Also,  $(a + a^2) + a^2 = n + a^2$  and this implies that  $a + a^2 = n$ .

Thus, the representation is unique.

The following result follows from the above lemma.

**Corollary 5.4** : If N is Boolean like near ring without nonzero nilpotent elements, then N is a Boolean ring.

**Theorem 5.5**: The set I of all nilpotent elements of a Boolean like near-ring N forms an ideal and N/I is a boolean ring.

**Proof**: By cor. 4.2, an element  $a \in N$  is nilpotent iff  $a^2 = 0$ . Therefore,  $I = \{a \in N/a^2 = 0\}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$   $I = \ker \varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is the near-ring homomorphism defined in theorem 5.2. Hence *I* is an ideal. Since *N* is a Boolean like near-ring, *N*/*I* is also a boolean like near-ring. Further *N*/*I* has no nonzero nilpotent elements. By corollary 5.4., *N*/*I* is a boolean ring.

Corollary 5.6 : The set of all idempotent elements of N form a boolean ring.

**Proof:** By lemma 4.1, for any  $a \in N$ ,  $a^2$  is an idempotent. Therefore  $\{a^2/a \in N\}$  is the set of all idempotents. Hence  $\{a^2/a \in N\} = \varphi(N)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the homomorphism defined in

theorem 5.2. But  $N/I \cong \varphi(N)$ . By theorem 5.5, N/I is a boolean ring and so  $\varphi(N)$  is also boolean ring.

We now prove the main theorem.

**Theorem 5.7 :** Every Boolean like near ring is a commutative ring.

**Proof**: Let N be a Boolean like near ring.

Since (N, +) is abelian, it suffices to prove that multiplication in N is commutative.

Let  $x, y \in N$ . By lemma 5.3, x = n + e, y = m + f where *n*, *m* are nilpotent elements and *e*, *f* are idempotent elements.

Then xy = (n + e) (m + f) = (n + e) m + (n + e) f = (n + e) m + nf + ef, since f is central. Similarly, yx = (m + f) (n + e) = (m + f) n + (m + f) e = (m + f) n + me + fe

Clearly ef = fe, since e, f are central elements.

To show xy = yx, it suffices to show that (n + e)m + nf = (m + f)n + me

Consider, (n + e) m = m (n + e) [m + (n + e) + m (n + e)]

$$= m^{2} (n + e) + m (n + e)^{2} + m^{2} (n + e)^{2}$$
(by def 3.1(iii))  
=  $m (n + e)^{2}$ , since  $m^{2} = 0$   
=  $m (n^{2} + e^{2})$ , by theorem 5.2  
=  $me$ 

Similarly (m + f) n = nf. Therefore (n + e) m + nf = me + nf = nf + me = (m + f) n + me. This completes the proof.

According to Venkateswarlu, K., et al [5] a Boolean like semiring is a near-ring N such that

(i) a + a = 0 for all  $a \in N$ , and (ii) ab(a + b + ab) = ab for all  $a, b \in N$ 

Corollary 5.8 : Every Boolean like near-ring is a Boolean like semiring.

**Proof**: If *N* is a Boolean like near-ring, then *N* is a commutative ring, by theorem 5.7

Therefore, ab(a+b+ab) = ba (by definition)

= ab (since multiplication is commutative)

Therefore, N is a Boolean like semiring.

But every boolean like semiring need not, in general, be a boolean like near-ring. This can be seen from the following example [5].

**Example 5.9**: Let  $K = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be the Klein's four group operations + and . are defined as follows:

+	0	a	b	С			0	a	b	С	
0	0	а	b	С	C	0	0	0	0	0	
а	а	0	С	b	a	a	0	0	а	а	
b	b	с	0	а		b		0	0	b	b
с	с	b	а	0	С	2	0	а	b	С	

K is a Boolean like semi ring but not a boolean like near-ring, since  $cab \neq cba$ 

### Special boolean-like rings

we introduce, in the section, the concept of a special boolean-like ring and prove that this concept is equivalent to the concept of a boolean like near-ring.

Definition 6.1: A commutative ring R is called a special boolean-like ring if

- (i) r+r = 0 for all  $r \in R$
- (ii) Every element  $a \in R$  can be expressed as a = n + e, where n is a nilpotent element and e is an idempotent element.
- (iii)  $n_1 n_2 = 0$  for all nilpotent elements  $n_1, n_2$  in R.

Note 6.2: (1) Every boolean ring is a special boolean ring.

(2) By (ii) of the above definition, we get that every special boolean-like ring with no nonzero nilpotent elements is a boolean ring.

(3) If n is a nilpotent element of R, then by taking  $n_1 = n_2 = n$  in (iii) of the above definition we get that  $n^2 = 0$ .

By theorem 5.6, lemma 5.4 and corollary 6.8, we get the following

Theorem 6.3: Every Boolean – like near-ring is a special Boolean-like ring.

We now prove the converse of the above result

Theorem 6.4 : Every special Boolean-like ring is a Boolean-like near-ring.

**Proof**: Let *R* be a special Boolean-like ring. To prove the theorem, it suffices to prove that xy (x + y + xy) = yx for all  $x, y \in R$ . If  $x, y \in R$ , then by definition, x = n + e, y = m + f where *n*, *m* are nilpotent elements and *e*, *f* are idempotents. Since the characteristic of *R* is 2,

 $x + x^{2} = (n + e) + (n + e)^{2} = (n + e) + (n^{2} + e^{2}) = (n + e) + e = n$ , as  $n^{2} = 0$  by note 6.2 (2),

Similarly, one can prove that  $y + y^2 = m$ .

Hence  $(x + x^2)(y + y^2) = mn = 0$ , by (iii) of the definition 6.1 and this implies that  $xy + xy^2 + x^2y + x^2y^2 = 0$ 

 $\Rightarrow xy^2 + x^2y + x^2y^2 = xy = yx$ , since *R* is commutative

 $\Rightarrow xy(x+y+xy) = yx.$ 

This completes the proof.

By combining the above two theorems, we get the following.

**Theorem 6.5 :** Let N be a near-ring. Then N is a boolean like near-ring if and only if N is a special boolean-like ring.

**Corollary 6.6 :** If a special boolean -like ring R has the identity 1 then it is a boolean-like ring.

**Proof**: By the theorem 6.4, R is a Boolean like near-ring. Since R has 1, the result follows by Corollary 4.7.

By (III theorem 2.19.11) we have the following.

**Theorem 6.7 :** If R is a special Boolean-like ring such that  $R^2 = R$ , then every maximal ideal in R is prime.

We now prove the converse. Before that, we prove a lemma.

**Lemma 6.8 :** Let *B* be a Boolean ring  $\neq$  (0). If B has no nonzero zero divisors, then *B* is a field.

**Proof :** Let  $u \neq 0$  be an arbitrary but a fixed element in *B*. For any  $r \in B$ , x(r+ur) = 0.

By hypothesis, r + ur = 0, *i.e.*, ur = r. Thus, *u* is the identity element in *B*, which we denote by 1.

For  $a \in B$ , a(1 + a) = 0 and hence either a = 0 or a = 1.

 $\therefore B = \{0, 1\}$  is a two element field.

**Theorem 6.9:** Let *R* be a special Boolean-like ring. If *P* is a prime ideal in *R* such that  $P \neq R$ , then *P* is a maximal ideal.

**Proof :** Since *P* is a prime ideal, *R*/*P* has no nonzero zero divisors, since (x + P) (y + P) = P

$$\Rightarrow xy + P = P$$

 $\Rightarrow xy \in P \Rightarrow x \in P \text{ or } y \in P \Rightarrow x + P = P \text{ or } y + P = P.$ 

Since *R* is a special Boolean like ring, R/P is also a special Boolean-like ring. By note 6.2(1) R/P is a Boolean ring. By lemma 6.8, R/P is a field. Thus, *P* is a maximal ideal of *R*.

By combining the above two theorems, we get the following.

**Theorem 6.10 :** Let *R* be a special Boolean-like ring such that  $R^2 = R$ . Then an ideal  $P \neq R$  is maximal in *R* if and only if *P* is prime in *R*.

## References

- 1. Clay, James R. and Lawver, Donald, A., Boolean near-rings, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **12**, 265-273 (1969).
- 2. Foster, A.L., The theory of Boolean like rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 59, 166-187 (1946).
- 3. Hansen, D.J. and Jiang, Luh, Boolean near rings and weak commutativity, J. Aust. Math. Soc., (series A) 103-107 (1989).
- 4. Heatherly, Henry E., Near-rings without nilpotent elements, *Publ. Math Debrecan*, **20**, 201-205 (1973).
- Venkateswarlu, K., *et al.*, Boolean like semirings, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 6, No. 13, 619-635 (2011).
- 6. Ligh, Steve, On Boolean near-rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 1, 3756-379 (1969).
- 7. Pilz, G., *Near-rings* (Revised Edition) North Holland, Amsterdam (1983).
- 8. Subrahmanyam, N.V., Boolean semi rings, Math Annalen, 148, 395-401 (1962).
- Swaminathan, V., On Foster's Boolean-like rings, *Math. Seminar Notes*, Kobe University, Japan, Vol 8, No. 2, 347-367(1980).
- 10. Szasz, G., Introduction to Lattice Theory (3rd revised edition), Academic press, New York (1963).
- 11. Thomas, N., Hungerford, Algebra, Holt, Rimehart and Winston, Inc. (1974).
- 12. Ali, Asma, *et al.*, Decomposition theorem for Periodic near-rings, *Randovi Mathematiki*, **12**, 5-11 (2003).
- Ashraf, M., Structure of certain periodic rings and near-rings, *Rand. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino.*, 53-1, 61-67 (1995).
- 14. Swaminathan, V., Geometry of Boolean-like rings, *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ.*, **9**, No. 1, 195-214 (1981).
- Foster, A.L., The idempotent elements of a commutative ring from a Boolean algebra; ring duality and transformation theory, *Duke Math. J.*, Vol. 12, 143-152 (1945).