

DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTION BELONGING TO WEIGHTED $(L_r, \xi(t))$ CLASS BY $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ -SUMMABILITY MEANS OF IT'S CONJUGATE FOURIER SERIES

ADITYA KUMAR RAGHUVANSHI

Department of Mathematics, IFTM University, Moradabad-244 001 (U.P.), India

RECEIVED : 3 February, 2015

In this research paper, we have proved a theorem on degree of approximation of a function belonging to weighted Lipschitz class by product summability means of it's conjugate Fourier series.

AMS Classification : 42B05, 42B08

KEYWORDS : (E, q) summability means, (\bar{N}, p_n^γ) summability means, product summability means, Lipschitz class, Degree of approximation, Fourier series and Conjugate Fourier series.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$ and let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of constants with $p_0 > 0, p_n \geq 0$ for $n > 0$ and $P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v$. We defines

$$p_n^\gamma = \sum_{v=0}^n A_{n-v}^{\gamma-1} p_v$$

$$P_n^\gamma = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v^\gamma, p_{-i}^\gamma = p_{-i}^\gamma = 0, i \geq 1 \quad \dots (1.1)$$

where, $A_0^\gamma = 1, A_n^\gamma = \frac{(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)\dots(\gamma+n)}{n!}, (\gamma > -1, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \dots (1.2)$

The sequence to sequence transformations (BOOS [1])

$$t_n^\gamma = \frac{1}{P_n^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v^\gamma s_v \quad (1.3)$$

defines the sequence $\{p_n^\gamma\}$ of the (\bar{N}, p_n^γ) mean of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ generated by the sequence of coefficients $\{p_n^\gamma\}$. If

$$t_n^\gamma \rightarrow s \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \dots (1.4)$$

Then the series Σa_n is said to be (\bar{N}, p_n^γ) -summable to s . It is clear that (\bar{N}, p_n^γ) -summability method is regular (Boos [1])

For $\gamma = 1$, (\bar{N}, p_n^γ) -summability method reduces to (\bar{N}, p_n) -summability method. (BOOS [1])

The sequence-to-sequence transformation (Hardy [2])

$$T_n = \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n}{v} q^{n-v} s_v \quad \dots (1.5)$$

defines the sequences $\{T_n\}$ of the (E, q) means of the sequences $\{s_n\}$. If

$$T_n \rightarrow s \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \dots (1.6)$$

Then the series Σa_n is said to be (E, q) -summable to s . Clearly (E, q) -summability method is regular, (Hardy [2])

Further, the (E, q) transformation of the (\bar{N}, p_n^γ) transformation of $\{s_n\}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n &= \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} t_k^\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{p_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma s_v \right\} \end{aligned} \quad \dots (1.7)$$

$$\text{If,} \quad \tau_n \rightarrow s \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \dots (1.8)$$

Then Σa_n is said to be $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ -summable to s . Further suppose, the summability method $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ is assumed to be regular throughout this paper.

For $\gamma = 1$, $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ -summability method reduces to $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n)$ -summability method and transformation τ_n becomes τ_n^1 define by,

$$\tau_n^1 = \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{p_k} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v s_v \right\} \quad \dots (1.9)$$

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with the period 2π and Lebesgue-integrable over $(-\pi, \pi)$.

The Fourier series associated with f at any point x is defined by,

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x) \quad \dots (1.10)$$

And the conjugate series of the Fourier series (1.10) is defined as,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \quad \dots (1.11)$$

Let $\bar{s}_n(f : x)$ be the n -th partial sums of (1.11). The L_{∞} -norm of a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in R\}$$

and the L_v -norm is defined by,

$$\|f\|_v = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (|f(x)|^v)^{\frac{1}{v}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{v}}, \quad v \geq 1 \quad \dots (1.13)$$

The degree of approximation of a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ by a trigonometric polynomial $p_n(x)$ of degree n under norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is defined by (Zygmund [8]).

$$\|P_n - f\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|p_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in R\} \quad \dots (1.14)$$

and the degree of approximation $E_n(f)$ of a function $f \in L_v$ is given by

$$E_n(f) = \min_{P_n} \|P_n - f\|_v \quad \dots (1.15)$$

A function $f \in Lip(\alpha)$, if

$$|f(x+t) - f(x)| = O(|t|^{\alpha}), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, t > 0 \quad \dots (1.16)$$

and a function $f \in Lip(\alpha, r)$, if

$$\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O(|t|^{\alpha}), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, r \geq 1 \quad \dots (1.17)$$

Further, a function $f(x) \in Lip(\xi(t), r)$, if

$$\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O(\xi|t|), \quad r \geq 1, t > 0 \quad \dots (4.1.18)$$

Finally, a function $f \in W(L_r, \xi(t))$, if

$$\left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^{\beta} \sin^r x dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = O(\xi|t|), \quad \beta \geq 0$$

For the Lipschitz classes, we have that, (Khan [3])

$$Lip \alpha \subseteq Lip(\alpha, r) \subseteq Lip(\xi(t), r) \subseteq W(L_r, \xi(t)) \quad \dots (1.19)$$

Here, we use the following Notations through out this paper.

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\}$$

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t) - 2f(x)\}$$

and

$$\bar{k}_n(t) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k P_v^\gamma \right\} \times \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} - \cos \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right) t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}}$$

KNOWN RESULTS

In 2012, Misra *et. al.* [4] have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 : If f is a 2π -periodic function of the class $Lip\alpha$ then degree of approximation by the product $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n)$ summability means of the conjugate series (1.11) of the Fourier-series (1.10) is given by

$$\|\tau'_n - f\|_\infty = O\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^\alpha}\right), 0 < \alpha < 1$$

where τ'_n is as defined in (1.9).

And, generalizing the Theorem 2.1 in 2012, Paikray *et. al.* [6] have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 : If f is a 2π periodic function of class $Lip(\alpha, r)$, then degree of approximation by product $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n)$ summability mean of the conjugates series (1.11) of the Fourier-series (1.10) is given by

$$\|\tau_n^1 - f\|_\infty = O\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{r}}}\right), 0 < \alpha < 1, r \geq 1$$

where τ_n^1 is defined in (1.9).

Further, in 2013, generalizing the Theorem 2.2 Misra *et. al.* [5] have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 : Let $\xi(t)$ be a positive increasing function and f is a 2π -periodic, Lebesgue-integrable function of class $Lip(\xi(t), r)$ $r \geq 1, t > 0$, then the degree of approximation by the product $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n)$ summability mean of the conjugate series (1.11) of the Fourier-series (1.10) is given by,

$$\|\tau'_n - f\|_\infty = O\left((n+1)^{\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right), r \geq 1,$$

where τ'_n is defined as in (1.9).

MAIN RESULTS

The purpose of this paper is to generalised the Theorem 2.3 for $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ class by $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ -summability means in the following form.

Theorem 3.1 : If $f : R \rightarrow R$ is a 2π periodic, Lebesgue integrable function over $[-\pi, \pi]$ and belonging to the class $W(L_r, \xi(t)), r \geq 1$. Then the degree of approximation by the product $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ summability means of the conjugate series (1.11) of the Fourier series (1.10) is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\|_r = O\left((n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \quad \dots (3.1)$$

where τ_n is as defined in (1.7) provided $\xi(t)$ satisfies the following conditions

$$\left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{t |\phi(t)|}{\xi(t)} \right)^r \sin^{\beta r} t dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} = O\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \quad \dots (3.2)$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)|}{\xi(t)} \right)^r \sin^{\beta r} t dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} = O\{(n+1)^\delta\} \quad \dots (3.3)$$

and $\left\{ \frac{\xi(t)}{t} \right\}$ be a decreasing sequence. ... (3.4)

where, δ is an arbitrary number such that $s(1-\delta)-1 > 0$, conditions (3.2) and (3.3) hold uniformly in x and where $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, such that $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.

LEMMAS

We have need the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 4.1:

$$|\bar{k}_n(t)| = O(n), 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Proof : For $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$, we have $\sin nt \leq n \sin t$, then (Boos [1])

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{k}_n(t)| &= \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} - \cos \left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right) t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} - \cos vt \cdot \cos \frac{t}{2} + \sin vt \cdot \sin \frac{t}{2}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \left(\frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} \left(2 \sin^2 v \frac{t}{2} \right)}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} + \sin vt \right) \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \left(O \left(2 \sin v \frac{t}{2} \sin v \frac{t}{2} \right) + v \sin t \right) \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma (O(v) + 0(v)) \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \frac{O(k)}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{t=0}^k p_t^\gamma \right| \\
&= O(n)
\end{aligned}$$

This prove the lemma.

Lemma 4.2:

$$|\bar{k}_n(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \text{ for } \frac{1}{n+1} \leq t \leq \pi$$

Proof : For $\frac{1}{n+1} \leq t \leq \pi$, by Jordan's Lemma, we have $\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\bar{k}_n(t)| &= \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} - \cos \left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right) t}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} - \cos \frac{vt}{2} \cdot \cos \frac{t}{2} + \sin \frac{vt}{2} \cdot \sin \frac{t}{2}}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \frac{\pi}{2t} \left(\cos \frac{t}{2} \left(2\sin^2 v \frac{t}{2} \right) + \sin v \frac{t}{2} \cdot \sin n \frac{t}{2} \right) \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\pi}{2\pi(1+q)^n t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \right\} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2(1+q)^n t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \right\} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1+q)^n t} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \right| \\
&= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Using Reimann-Lebesgue theorem, we have for the n -th partial sum $\bar{s}_n(f : x)$ of the conjugate Fourier-series (1.11) of $f(x)$ as follows (Titchmarsh [7])

$$\bar{s}_n(f : x) - f(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \bar{k}_n(t) dt$$

The (\bar{N}, p_n^γ) transform of $\bar{s}(f : x)$ using (1.3) is given by

$$t_n - f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi P_n^\gamma} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{k=0}^n p_k^\gamma \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} - \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}} dt$$

denoting the $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ transform of $\bar{s}_n(f : x)$ by τ_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tau_n - f\| &= \frac{1}{\pi(1+q)^n} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{n-k} \left\{ \frac{1}{P_k^\gamma} \sum_{v=0}^k p_v^\gamma \frac{\cos \frac{t}{2} - \sin\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt \\
&= \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \bar{k}_n(t) dt \\
&= \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^0 \right\} \phi(t) \bar{k}_n(t) dt \\
&= I_1 + I_2 \text{ (say)} \qquad \dots (5.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \phi(t) \bar{k}_n(t) dt$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{t |\phi(t)| \sin^\beta t}{\xi(t)} \right\}^r dt \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{\xi(t) |\bar{k}_n(t)|}{\beta t \sin t} \right\}^s dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{n \xi(t)}{t^{1+\beta}} \right\}^s dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) O(n) \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{\xi(t)}{t^{1+\beta}} \right\}^s dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) O(n+1) \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left\{ \frac{\xi(t)}{t^{1+\beta}} \right\}^s dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= O\left(\xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} t^{-(\beta+1)s} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= O\left(\xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \cdot (n+1)^{\beta+1-\frac{1}{s}} \\ &= O\left(\xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \cdot (n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \\ &= O\left((n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \cdot \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and,
$$I_2 = \int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \phi(t) \bar{k}_n(t) dt \quad \dots (5.2)$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\leq \left[\int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{t^{-\delta} |\phi(t)| \sin t}{\xi(t)} \right\}^r dt \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \left[\int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\bar{kn}(t) \cdot \xi(t)^s}{t^{-\delta} \sin t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{s}} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\
&= O(n+1)^{\delta} \left[\int_{\frac{1}{n+1}}^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\xi(t)}{t^{-\delta+\beta+1}} \right\}^s dt \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \\
&= O(n+1)^{\delta} \left[\int_{\frac{1}{\pi}}^{n+1} \left\{ \frac{\xi\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)}{g^{-(\beta+1)+\delta}} \right\}^s \frac{dg}{g^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{s}}, \text{ taking } t = \frac{1}{g} \\
&= O \left\{ (n+1)^{\delta} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \left[g^{(\beta-\delta+1)s-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \right\}^{\frac{n+1}{\pi}} \\
&= O \left\{ (n+1)^{\delta} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) (n+1)^{\beta-\delta+1-\frac{1}{s}} \right\} \\
&= O \left\{ \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) (n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} (n+1)^{-\delta} \right\} \\
&= O \left\{ (n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \right\} \quad \dots (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining (5.1) (5.2) and (5.3), we have that

$$\|\tau_n - f\| = O \left\{ (n+1)^{\beta+\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \right\}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem

COROLLARY

Following results can be derived as a corollary by our theorem.

Corollary 6.1 : If $\beta = 0$ then $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ class reduces to $Lip(\xi(t))_r$ class and the degree of approximation for conjugate Fourier-series by product $(E, q)(\bar{N}_1, p_n^\gamma)$ -summability mean is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\| = O \left((n+1)^{\frac{1}{r}} \xi\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \right) r > 0$$

Corollary 6.2 : For $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$ the main theorem reduces to theorem 2.3.

Corollary 6.3 : If $\beta = 0$ and $\xi(t) = t^\alpha$ then, $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ class reduces to $Lip(\xi(t), r)$ and the degree of approximation for conjugate Fourier-series by product $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ -summability mean is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\| = O\left(\frac{1}{n+1-\frac{1}{r}}\right), r > 0$$

Corollary 6.4 : If $\beta = 0$ and $\xi(t) = t^\alpha$ and $\gamma = 1$, then main theorem reduces to theorem 2.2.

Corollary 6.5 : If $\beta = 0$, $\xi(t) = t^\alpha$ and $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, then $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ class reduces to Lip_α and the degree of approximation for conjugate Fourier-series by product $(E, q)(\bar{N}, p_n^\gamma)$ -summability mean is given by

$$\|\tau_n - f\| = O\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)}\right), 0 < \gamma < 1$$

Corollary 6.6: If $\beta = 0$ and $\xi(t) = t^\alpha$, $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ and $\gamma = 1$ then main theorem reduces to theorem 2.1.

CONCLUSIONS

Our theorem have more general results rather than any previous known results, that will be enrich the literature on Approximation theory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is thankful to Dr. B.K. Singh, (Professor and Head of the Deptt. of Mathematics, IFTM Uni. Moradabad, U.P., India for his generous help during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Boos, J., *Classical and modern methods in summability*, Oxford University Press London (1949).
2. Hardy, G.H., *Divergent series*, Oxford University Press (1949).
3. Khan, H.H., A note on a theory of Izumi, *Comm. Fac. Sci. Math. Ankara* (Turkey).
4. Misra, U.K., Misra, M., Padhy, B.P. and Buxi, S.K., On degree of approximation by product means of conjugate series of Fourier series, *Int. J. of Math. Science and Engineering Application*, **6(1)**, 363-370 (2012).
5. Misra, M., Padhy, B.P., Bisoyi, D. and Misra, U.K., On degree of approximation of conjugate series of a Fourier series by product summability, *Malaya J. of Matematik*, **2(1)**, pp 37-42 (2013).
6. Paikray, S.K., Misra, U.K., Jati, R.K. and Sahoo, N.C., On degree of approximation of Fourier series by product means, *Bull. of Society for Mathematical Services and Standards*, **1(4)**, pp. 12-20 (2012).
7. Titchmarsh, E.C., *The Theory of Functions*, Oxford University Press, (1939).
8. Zygmund, A., *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press (1959).

□