### ON HYPERBOLIC COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLD ADMITTING A STRUCTURE CONNECTION-I

#### SAVITA VERMA

#### Deptt. of Mathematics, Govt. P.G. College, Rishikesh (Uttarakhand), India

RECEIVED : 26 January, 2014

We consider the structure connection *B* in Hyperbolic Contact Metric manifold and we stuudy (0, 6)-type tensor (*K*.'*K*) and (0, 4)-type tensor (K. Ric) and Ricci-semiisymmetric, if (*K*.'*K*) = 0 and (K. Ric) = 0, respectively. Here we obtained the properties of these (0, 6) and (0, 4)-type tensors in a hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold admitting the structure connection. Further, we have shown the necessary and sufficient condition that the hyperbolic Cosymplectic manifold admitting an *F-T*-structure connection is flat iff the Curvature tensor with respect to *B* vanishes.

**KEYWORDS :** Hyperbolic contact metric manifold, Hyperbolic cosymplectic Manifold, Structure connection, Curvature tensor, (0, 6)-type tensor (*K*. *K*), (0, 4)-type tensor (K. Ric), Ricci-semi –symmetric manifold, etc.

# INTRODUCTION

**U**padhyay, M.D., Dubey, K.K. [1] have studied Almost Hyperbolic contact Structure. Kalpana and Srivastava [4], Sinha, B.B. and Yadav, S.L. [2] Doğan, S. doand Karadoğ [8] and Chinca, O., Gonzalec, C. [6] have studied the Structure connection *B* in Hyperbolic Contact Metric Manifold. Defever, F. and Others [3] have defined a (0, 6)-type tensor (*K. 'K*) and (0, 4)-type tensor (K. Ric) and called the manifold Semi-symmetric and Ricci-semi – symmetric if (*K. 'K*) = 0 and (K. Ric) = 0 respectively. Sharma, R., Koufogiorgos [5] have studied the locally symmetric and Ricci symmetric contact metric manifold. While Ahmad, M., Ali, K. [7] have studied Semi invariant submanifold of Nearly hyperbolic Cosymplectic Manifold.

Let us consider an odd-dimensional complete real Differentiable manifold  $M_n$  of dimension *n*; with a fundamental tensor field *F* of type (1, 1), a fundamental vector field *T* and a 1-form *A*, satisfying [1]

$F^2X = X + A$	(X) T	(	(1.1)	(a	)

- FT = 0 ... (1.1) (b)
- A(FX) = 0 (1.1) (c)
- A(T) = -1, and rank (F) = n ... (1.1) (d)

For every tangent vector field X in  $M_n$ , then  $M_n$  is called a hyperbolic contact metric manifold if a pseudo Riemannian metric tensor g, satisfies

123/M014

$$G(FX, FY) = -g(X, Y) - A(X)A(Y) \qquad \dots (1.2) (a)$$

$$g(T, X) = A(X)$$
 ... (1.2) (b)

The structure Bundle  $\{F, T, A, G\}$  is called hyperbolic contact metric structure [1].

The fundamental 2-form '
$$F$$
 of the structure defined as

$$F(X, Y) = g(FX, Y)$$
 ... (1.3) (a)

Satisfies

where

and

$${}^{c}F(X, Y) = - {}^{c}F(Y, X) = - {}^{c}F(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$$
$$\overline{X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} FX \qquad \dots (1.3) (c)$$

A hyperbolic metric manifold  $M_n$  is said to be the hyperbolic cosymplectic

Manifold [1] & [2], if

$$(D_X F)(Y) = 0$$
 ... (1.4) (a)

$$(D_{X}A)(Y) = 0$$
 ... (1.4) (b)

$$D_X T = 0$$
 ... (1.4) (c)

where D is the Riemannian connection in  $M_n$ . Defever, F. and others (1997) have defined [3].

$$(K.'K) (Z, U, V, W; X, Y) = - K (K (X, Y, Z), U, V, W) - K (Z, K (X, Y, U), V, W)$$

$$- K(Z, U, K(X, Y, V), W) - K(Z, U.V, K(X, Y, W)) \dots (1.5) (a)$$

$$(K. \operatorname{Ric})(Z, U; X, Y) = -\operatorname{Ric}(K(X, Y, Z), U) - \operatorname{Ric}(Z, K(X, Y, U)) \qquad \dots (1.5) (b)$$

where (K, K) is a tensor of type (0, 6) and (K, Ric) is a tensor of type (0, 4). Here K and Ric are the Riemannian curvature tensor and Ricci- tensor in  $M_n$ .

If (K.'K) = 0 and (K. Ric) = 0, then the manifold is to be semi-symmetris and Ricci-semi-symmetric, respectively [3].

**Remark** (1.1): In the above and in what follows,  $X, Y, Z, \dots$  are the tangent vector fields in  $M_n$ .

# A STRUCTURE CONNECTION IN HYPERBOLIC COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLD

Mn

he structure connection B in a hyperbolic contact metric manifold  $M_n$  is given by [1], [2], [4].

$$B_X Y = D_X Y + H(X, Y)$$
 ... (2.1) (a)

Where

and

$$H(X, Y) = A(Y) X - A(Y) X - A(X) Y - g(X, Y) T + F(X, Y) T \dots (2.1) (b)$$

Whose torsion tensor is defined as

$$S^{*}(X, Y) = A(Y) X - A(X) Y + 2^{*}F(X, Y) T \qquad \dots (2.2)$$

In a hyperbolic contact metric manifold  $M_n$ , we have

$$(B_XA)(Y) = (D_XA)(Y) - A(X)A(Y) - g(X, Y) + F(X, Y) \dots (2.3)$$
 (a)

$$B_X T = D_X T - A(X) T - X - \bar{X}$$
 ... (2.3) (b)

 $(B_X F)(Y) = (D_X F)(Y) - A(Y)\overline{X} + A(Y)X - g(X, Y)T - F(X, Y)T \dots (2.4)$ 

Now, we rewrite the equation (2.1) in the form

Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XLI M, No. 2 (2015)

$$B_{Y}Z = D_{Y}Z + A(Z) Y - g(Y, Z) T + F(Y, Z) T - A(Z) \overline{Y} - A(Y) \overline{Z} \qquad \dots (2.5)$$

The curvature tensor of the structure connection B in the hyperbolic contact metric manifold  $M_n$  is given by

$$R(X, Y, Z) = B_X B_Y Z - B_Y B_X Z - B(X, Y) Z \qquad \dots (2.6)$$

In view of the equation (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (X, Y, Z) &= K (X, Y, Z) + (D_X A) (Z) Y - (D_Y A) (Z) X - (D_X A) (Z) \overline{Y} \\ &+ (D_Y A) (Z) \overline{X} - g (Y, Z) D_X T + g (X, Z) D_Y T + F (Y, Z) D_X T \\ &- F (X, Z) D_Y T - A (Z) \{ (D_X F) (Y) - (D_Y F) (X) \} + (D_X F) (Y, Z) T \\ &- (D_Y F) (X, Z) T - A (Y) (D_X F) (Z) + A (X) (D_Y F) (Z) + g (Y, Z) X \\ &- g (X, Z) Y - F (Y, Z) X + F (X, Z) Y - g (Y, Z) \overline{X} + g (X, Z) \overline{Y} \\ &+ F (Y, Z) \overline{X} - F (X, Z) \overline{Y} - \overline{Z} \{ (D_X A) (Y) - (D_Y A) (X) \} \end{aligned}$$

In view of the equation (1.4), the curvature tensor of the structure connection B in hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $M_n$  is given by

$$R(X, Y, Z) = K(X, Y, Z) + \{g(Y, Z) - F(Y, Z)\} (X - \overline{X}) - \{g(X, Z) - F(X, Y)\} (Y - \overline{Y}) \dots (2.8)$$

Contracting the above equation with respect to X,

We obtain

R

$$R(Y, Z) = \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) + (n-2)g(Y, Z) - (n-2)'F(Y, Z) - A(Y)A(Z), \dots (2.9) (a)$$
  

$$R(Y) = K(Y) + (n-2)Y - (n-2)\overline{Y} - A(Y)T \dots (2.9) (b)$$

Again contracting (2.9) (b) with respect to Y,

We get

or

$$r = k + n (n - 2) + 1 = k + (n - 1)^{2} \qquad \dots (2.10)$$

Here, R(Y, Z) and r are Ricci-tensor and scalar curvature of the structure Connection B, respectively and k is the scalar curvature of manifold  $M_n$ .

Let us suppose that the curvature tensor of the structure connection vanish, *i.e.* 

R(X, Y, Z) = 0,

 $k = -(n-1)^2$ 

Then we have from (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), the following results :

$$K(X, Y, Z) = \{g(X, Z) - F(X, Z)\}(Y - \overline{Y}) - \{g(Y, Z) - F(Y, Z)\}(X - \overline{X}) \dots (2.11)$$

$$\operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) = (n-2)' F(Y, Z) - (n-2) g(Y, Z) + A(Y) A(Z) \qquad \dots (2.12)$$

and

$$k = -(n-1)^2$$
 ... (2.13)

From (2.12), we also have

$$\operatorname{Ric}(\bar{Y}, Z) + \operatorname{Ric}(Y, \bar{Z}) = 0$$
 ... (2.14)

Thus, we have

**Theorem (2.1)**: Let  $M_n$  be a hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold admitting a structure connection B given by (2.5). If the curvature tensor with respect to B vanishes, then  $M_n$  is of constant scalar curvature and

$$\operatorname{Ric}\left(\overline{Y}, Z\right) + \operatorname{Ric}\left(Y, \overline{Z}\right) = 0$$

Also hold good in  $M_n$ .

**Proof** : Taking R(X, Y, Z) = 0 in (2.8) and following the above patterns, we obtain the equation (2.13) and (2.14), from which , the proof of the Theorem follows.

Now, taking account of the equation (1.5)(a),

We write a tensor of type (0, 6),

$$(R.'R) (Z, U, V, W; X, Y) = - `R (R (X, Y, Z), U, V, W) - `R (Z, R (X, Y.U), V, W) - `R (Z, U, R (X, Y, V), W) - `R (Z, U, V, R (X, Y, W)) ... (2.15)$$

Using (2.8) in the above equation and taking account of the equation (1.2) and (1.5) (a), we obtain, after a long computation, (R.'R) (Z, U, V, W; X, Y) = (K.'K) (Z, U, V, W; X, Y)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R})(\mathcal{Z}, U, V, W; X, Y) = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})(\mathcal{Z}, U, V, W; X, Y) \\ & - \{g(Y, Z) - F(Y, Z)\} [F(X, U, V, W) - K(\bar{X}, U, V, W) + 2\{g(X, W) \\ & - F(X, W)\} \{g(U, V) - F(U, V)\} + A(X) A(W) \{g(U, V) - F(U, V)\} - 2\{g(X, V) \\ & - F(X, Z)\} [K(Y, U, V, W) - K(\bar{Y}, U, V, W) + 2\{g(Y, W) - F(Y, W)\} \{g(U, V) \\ & - F(U, V)\} + A(Y) A(W) \{g(U, V) - F(U, V)\} - 2\{g(Y, V) - F(Y, V)\} \{g(U, W) \\ & - F(U, W)\} - A(Y) A(V) \{g(U, W) - F(U, W)\} - \{g(Y, U) - F(Y, U)\} \{g(Z, W) \\ & - F(Z, W) - 2\{g(X, V) - F(X, V)\} \{g(Z, W) - F(Z, W)\} + A(X) A(V) \{g(Z, W) \\ & - F(Z, W)\} - 2\{g(X, U) - F(X, U)\} \{g(Z, V) - F(Z, V)\} - A(X) A(W) \{g(Z, V) \\ & - F(Z, W)\} - 2\{g(X, U) - F(X, U)\} \{g(Z, V) - F(Z, V)\} - A(X) A(W) \{g(Z, V) \\ & - F(Z, W)\} - 2\{g(X, W) - F(X, U)\} [F(X(Z, Y, V, W) - K(Z, \overline{Y}, W) + 2\{g(Y, V) \\ & - F(Z, V)\} + \{g(X, U) - F(X, U)\} [F(X(Z, Y, V, W) - F(Z, W) + 2\{g(Y, V) \\ & - F(Z, V)\} + \{g(X, U) - F(X, U)\} [F(X(Z, Y, V, W) - F(Z, W) + 2\{g(Y, W) \\ & - F(Y, V)\} \{g(Z, W) - F(Z, W)\} + A(Y) A(V) \{g(Z, W) - F(Z, W) + 2\{g(Y, W) \\ & - F(Y, W)\} \{g(Z, V) - F(Z, V)\} - A(Y) A(W) \{g(Z, V) - F(Z, V)\} - 2\{g(Y, W) \\ & - F(Y, W)\} \{g(Z, V) - F(Z, V)\} - A(Y) A(W) \{g(Z, V) - F(Z, V)\} - \{g(Y, W) \\ & - F(X, W, W) + A(Y) A(Z) \{g(U, W) - F(U, W) + A(X) A(Z) \{g(U, W) - F(U, W)\} \\ & - A(X) A(U) \{g(Z, W) - F(Z, W)\} + \{g(X, V) - F(X, V)\} [F(X(Z, U, Y, W) \\ & - K(Z, U, \overline{Y}, W) + A(Y) A(Z) \{g(U, W) - F(U, W) - A(Y) A(U) \{g(Z, W) \\ & - F(Z, W)\} - \{g(Y, W) - F(Y, W)\} [K(Z, U, V, X) - K(Z, U, V, \overline{X}) \\ & + A(X) A(U) \{g(Z, V) - F(Y, V)\} [K(Z, U, V, \overline{X}) + A(Y) A(U) \{g(Z, W) \\ & - F(X, W)\} [F(X(Z, U, V, Y) - K(Z, U, V, \overline{Y}) + A(Y) A(U) \{g(Z, V) \\ & - F(X, W)\} [F(X(Z, U, V, Y) - K(Z, U, V, \overline{Y}) + A(Y) A(U) \{g(Z, V) \\ & - F(X, W)\} [F(X, Z, U, V, Y) - K(Z, U, V, \overline{Y}) + A(Y) A(U) \{g(Z, V) \\ & - F(X, W)\} [F(X, Z, U, V, Y) - F(U, V)\} ] \\ & \qquad heave the following results : - K(X, Y, Z) = 0 \\ & \qquad \dots (2.17) (b) \\ K(X, Y, \overline{Z}) = \overline{K}(X, Y, \overline{Z}) = 0 \\ & \qquad \dots (2.17) (c) \\ K(X, Y, \overline{Z}) = \overline{K}(X, Y, \overline{Z}), U) = g(\overline{K}(X, Y, Z), U) = g(\overline{K}(X, Y, Z), \overline{U}) \\ \\ & \qquad = - K($$

Now putting V = T and W = T in (2.16) and using (2.17) and (1.1)(d), we easily obtain

$$(R.'R)(Z, U, T, T; X, Y) = (K.'K)(Z, U, T, T; X, Y)$$
 ... (2.18) (a)

Again putting Z = T and U = T in (2.16) and using (2.17) and (1.1)(d), we get

Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XLI M, No. 2 (2015)

$$(R.'R)(T, T, V, W; X, Y) = (K.'K)(T, T, V, W; X, Y)$$
 ... (2.18) (b)

Thus we have,

and

**Theorem (2.2)** In a hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $M_n$ , equipped with a structure connection B given by (2.5), we have

$$(R.'R) (Z, U, T, T; X, Y) = (K.'K) (Z, U, T, T; X, Y)$$
$$(R.'R) (T, T, V, W; X, Y) = (K.'K) (T, T, V, W; X, Y)$$

**Proof :** The proof of the theorem is an immediate consequence of the equation (2.18) (a) and (2.18)(b).

Now, we suppose that R(X, Y, Z) = 0, then we have

**Corollary (2.1) :** In a hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $M_n$ , equipped with a structure connection *B* given by (2.5), whose curvature tensor vanishes, we have

$$(K.'K)(Z, U, T, T; X, Y) = 0$$
 ... (2.19) (a)

$$(K.'K)(T, T, V, W; X, Y) = 0$$
 ... (2.19) (b)

**Proof:** -- The proof of the corollary immediately follows from the theorem (2.2) For P(X, X, Z) = 0

For R(X, Y, Z) = 0

Now, taking account of the equation (1.5)(b) and using (2.11) and (2.12) in it, we obtain (K.Ric)  $(Z, U; X, Y) = 2 (n-2) [\{g(X, Z) - F(X, Z)\} \{g(Y, U) - F(Y, U)\} - \{g(Y, Z) - F(Y, Z)\} \{g(X, U) - F(X, U)\}] - (n-3) [A(X) A(U) \{g(Y, Z) - F(Y, Z)\} - A(Y) A(U) \{g(X, Z) - F(X, Z)\}] + (n-1) [A(X) A(Z) \{g(Y, U) - F(Y, U)\} - A(Y) A(Z) \{g(X, U) - F(X, U)\}] \dots (2.20)$ 

From which, in view of (1.1)(c), we get

(

$$(K.\operatorname{Ric})(Z, U, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = 2(n-2) \left[ \{ F(X, Z) + g(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}) \} \{ F(Y, U) + g(\bar{Y}, \bar{U}) \} \right]$$

$$-\{F(Y,Z) + g(Y,Z)\}\{F(X,U) + g(X,U)\} \qquad \dots (2.21)$$

Putting U = T and Z = T separately in the above equation, we easily obtain

$$(K.Ric)(Z, T; X, Y) = 0$$
 ... (2.22) (a)

$$(K.Ric)(T, U; \overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = 0$$
 ... (2.22) (b)

Thus, we have

**Theorem (2.3).** A hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold  $M_n$ , admitting a structure connection B given by (2.5), whose curvature tensor vanishes, is Ricci-semi-symmetric with respect to the vector fields  $(Z, T; \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$  or  $(T, U; \overline{X}, \overline{Y})$ .

**Proof :** The proof of the theorem follows, immediately, from the esults (2.22)(a) and (2.22)(b), for R(X,Y,Z) = 0. Further, we suppose that the structure connection *B* given by (2.5) is a *F*-*T*-Connection, then we must have

$$B_x F(Y) = 0$$
 ... (2.23) (a)

$$(B_XA)(Y) = 0$$
 ... (2.23) (b)

$$B_X T = 0$$
 ... (2.23) (c)

Now, in a hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold, the equation (2.23) (b) and (2.23) (c) give

$$X - \overline{X} = -A(X)T$$
 ... (2.24) (a)

$$g(X, Y) - F(X, Y) = -A(X)A(Y)$$
 ... (2.24) (b)

or

Using (2.24)(b) in the equation (2.8), we have

$$R(X, Y, Z) = K(X, Y, Z)$$
 ... (2.25)

Thus, we have

**Theorem (2.4).** Let  $M_n$  be a hyperbolic cosymplectic manifold admitting an F-T structure connection B given by (2.5). Then the curvature tensor of B vanishes iff the manifold is flat.

The proof is obvious, in view of the equation the equation (2.25).

# Conclusion

In this paper we coorelate Structure connection B in Hyperbolic Contact Metric Manifold with properties of (0, 6)-type and (0, 4)-type tensor in Hyperbolic Cosymplectic Manifold and we find the necessary and sufficient condition that Hyperbolic Cosymplectic Manifold admitting an F-T Structure connection is flat, iff the Curvature tensor with respect to B vanishes.

### References

- Upadhyay, M.D. and Dubey, K.K., Almost hyperbolic contact {f, g, u, v, λ}-Structure, Acta Mathematica Academiac Scientarium Hungrical, 28(H-1053), 13 (1973).
- Sinha, B.B. and Yadav, S.L., Structure connection in Almost contact manifold; Publications De Linstitute mathematiqu, *Nouvelle Seric*, 28(42), 195 (1980).
- Defever, F., Deszez, R. and Verstraelen, L., On semi-symmetric Para Kähler manifold, Acta Math Hungas, (74)(1-2), pp 1-17 (1997).
- Kalpana and Srivastava, M., Structure connection in hyperbolic contact metric manifold, *Bull. Cal.* Math. Soc., 79, 307-313 (1987).
- Sharma, R., Koufogiorgos : Locally Symmetric and ricci-symmetric contact metric manifold, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry, Vol. 9, Issus 2, pp 177-182 (1991).
- Chinca, O., Gonzalec, C., A Classification of almost contact metric manifolds, *Annali di* Mathematica Pure and Applicate, Vol. 156, Issue 1, pp15-36 (1990).
- Ahmad, M., Ali, K., Semi invariant submanifold of Nearly Hyperbolic Cosymplectic Manifold, *Global Journal of Science Frontier Res Math and Decision Science*, Vol. 13, Issue 4 (2013).
- Doğan, S., Karadoğ, Slant submanifold of an Almost Hyperbolic contact metric manifold, *Journal of Mathematics and System Sciences*, Vol. 4, pp-285-288 (2014).

196