### SIMULATION OF HYDROGENATED GRAPHENE FIELD-EFFECT TRANSISTORS

#### S. CHANDRA, POOJA RANI

#### Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh-160014, India

RECEIVED : 31 December, 2015

In this work, we present a performance analysis of Field Effect Transistors based on recently fabricated 100% hydrogenated graphene (the so-called graphane) and theoretically predicted semi-hydrogenated graphene (i.e. graphone). The approach is based on accurate calculations of the energy bands by means of GW approximation, subsequently fitted with a three-nearest neighbor (3NN) sp<sup>3</sup> tight-binding Hamiltonian, and finally used to compute ballistic transport in transistors based on functionalized graphene. Due to the large energy gap, the proposed devices have many of the advantages provided by one-dimensional graphene nanoribbon FETs, such as large I<sub>on</sub> and I<sub>on</sub>/I<sub>off</sub> ratios, reduced band-to band tunneling, without the corresponding disadvantages in terms of prohibitive lithography and patterning requirements for circuit integration.

**KEYWORDS :** Functionalized graphene, density functional theory, multi-scale simulations, tight-binding.

# INTRODUCTION

Chemical functionalization is a viable route towards band gap engineering of graphenebased materials, as first demonstrated, where exposure to a steram of hydrogen atoms has led to 100% hydrogenation of a graphene sheet, the so-called graphane [1]. Obviously, research on functionalized graphene devices is at an embryonic stage. Several issues must be addressed to introduce graphane in future generations of electron devices. From this perspective, theoretical simulations can be very useful to explore possible solutions for device fabrication and design, in order to provide an early assessment of the opportunities of functionalized graphene in nanoscale electronics. Sofo et. al. first predicted stability of 100% hydrogenated graphene through standard DFT calculations with a generalized Gradient Approximation [2]. As also observed the H atom adsorption leads to  $sp^3$  hybridization, where three of the  $sp^3$ bonds are saturated by C atoms, and the fourth by H atom, which in turn induces an energy gap opening of few eVs [3]. More detailed simulations have been performed, where DFT deficiencies in calculating energy gap have been overcome through GW simulations, showing energy gaps of 5.4 eV and 4.9 eV for the chair and the boat conformation, respectively, thus correcting results by almost 2 eV [4-5]. Non-ideal structures have been studied, through geometry optimization and molecular dynamics simulations [6]. It has been shown that H frustration is very likely to occur, leading to extensive membrane corrugation, but also that hydrogenated domains, once formed, are very stable. Atomistic simulations have demonstrated that semi-hydrogenated graphene (graphone) with H atoms on the same side, 216/P015

possesses ferromagnetic properties, opening graphene to spintronic applications [7]. Ferroelectric behavior has also been determined, where nanorods (*i.e.* graphene nanoribbons) have been defined on fully hydrogenated carbon sheets, exhibiting the same energy gap behavior as a function of width as in [8-9]. Gap opening can be induced not only through H-functionalization, but also by means of other absorbents [10]. Fluorine has been taken into account, demonstrating a clear dependence of the energy gap on fluorine concentration, as well as lithium, which however presents a geometrical conformation different from that in graphane, in which C atoms are pulled out by absorbents [11-12].

All mentioned articles are concerned with simulations of material properties, whereas studies on the operation and performance of functionalized graphene-based devices are lacking, except for one work on current-voltage characteristics of graphane p-n junctions, based on the effective mass approximation. Clearly, more efforts have to be directed towards this direction, since whether graphane is suitable as a channel for field effect transistors is still an open issue, which can benefit from a contribution based on accurate numerical simulations [13-14].

In order to address all these issues and to advance research in graphane electronics, we present a multiscale approach, based on (*i*) accurate GW calculations of the energy dispersion relations, (*ii*) a fitting of the computed energy bands by means of a 3NN  $sp^3$  tight-binding Hamiltonian to be included in (*iii*) a semiclassical model, based on the assumption of ballistic transport, to simulate Field-Effect Transistors based on graphane and graphone channels.



Fig. 1. Energy bands computed for graphane (a) and graphone (b-c), using tight-binding three-nearest neighbour (solid line), GW (dots) and DFT-GGA approaches (dashed lines). In the case of graphone spin-up (b) and spin-down bands (c) are shown above.

## **COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

In Fig. 1, we show the computed energy bands by means of three different models: three-nearest neighbor  $sp^3$  tight-binding Hamiltonian (solid line), DFT within generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew Burke Ernzerhof (dashed line) and GW (dots) [15-17]. DFT calculations have been performed by means of the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package, which implements density functional theory, in the framework of the projector

augmented waves method [18-22]. Due to the presence of an unpaired electron in graphone, we have allowed for spin -polarization in all our calculations. In addition, since a ferromagnetic arrangement of the magnetic moments gives the smallest total energy, we have considered the simplest cell that can accommodate this magnetic order, composed by two carbon and one hydrogen atoms.

### **Results and discussion**

Since the GGA approach is not suitable to treat excited states and the band gap, which is usually underestimated by standard exchange-correlation functional such as the LDA or GGA, we have adopted the GW approximation. In particular, we have exploited the GW approximation as implemented in the VASP code, which provides similar results as those from an earlier implementation [23-24]. In order to help convergence, we have considered 200 bands for the corresponding summation in the calculation of the polarizability and the self-energy, while we have used a cut-off of 150 eV for the size of the polarizability matrices. In (Fig. 1b) and (Fig. 1c), we show spin-up and spin-down energy bands computed by means of the three above mentioned models for the 50% functionalized graphene sheet (graphone). The valence and maximum is located along the  $\Gamma$ -*K* high-symmetry line (Fig.1b), and almost degenerate with the other maximum along the  $\Gamma$ -*M* direction. The conduction band minimum is instead at the  $\Gamma$  point (Fig. 1c). For both GW and GGA the gap is indirect. However, while GGA provide a band-gap equal to 0.46 eV, the GWA provides a bandgap equal to 3.2 eV. In addition to increasing the band gap, the GWA is also modifying the dispersion relation with respect to GGA.



Fig. 2. Sketch of the simulated device. The channel here shown is graphane, but the very same structure has been considered for graphone based FET. In the inset, the device transversal cross-section is shown above.

In order to solve the electrostatic and the transport problems in functionalized graphene devices, we have adopted a semiclassical model similar to that in, able to compute the free charge density and the current, given the energy bands in the whole Brillouin Zone [25]. The choice for such a model is justified by the large energy gap obtained for both graphane and graphone, which strongly limits the band-to -band tunneling component, differently to what happens in carbon nanotubes, graphane nanoribbon or graphene bilayer transistors [26-28]. The model relies on the assumption of fully ballistic transport, since our aim in the current work is to assess the upper limits of device performance.

From a numerical point of view, a large number of k points in the BZ (almost equal to 10<sup>5</sup>) is required in order to obtain accurate results, which can be really prohibitive for the GW approach. In order to avoid this problem, the energy dispersion along the  $\Gamma M$  and  $\Gamma K$  directions obtained by the GW approximation, has been fitted by means of a least-mean square procedure and a three-nearest neighbor  $sp^3$  tight-binding Hamiltonian, which has demonstrated to provide a better fitting as compared to a simple nearest-neighbor approach. Results are shown in Fig. 1. Particular attention has been paid to the minimum and the maximum of the conduction and valence bands respectively, since such states are those mainly contributing to transport. As can be seen, tight-binding results are in good agreement with GW calculations. In Fig. 2, we show the structure of the simulated double gate device. The channel is embedded in SiO<sub>2</sub> ( $\varepsilon_r = 3.9$ ). In the inset of Fig. 2, we show the device transversal cross-section:  $t_{ox1}$  and  $t_{ox2}$  are the top and bottom gate oxide thicknesses, respectively.

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 3. Transfer characteristics for graphane and graphone NMOS and PMOS in the liner (a) and in the logarithmic scale (b) each transfer characteristic has been translated by E<sup>1</sup>, the bottom of the conduction band for NMOS and the top of the valence band for PMOS, (c) quantum capacitance as a function of charge density in the channel, (d) output characteristic for different V<sub>GS</sub>: the gate-to-source step is 0.2 V.

In Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b, the transfer characteristics of NMOS and PMOS FETs based on graphane and graphone are shown in the linear and logarithmic scale, for  $t_{ox1}=t_{ox2}=1$  nm, and for a drain-to-source voltage  $V_{DS}=V_{DD}=0.8$  V. To allow a comparison with the scaling expected for silicon technology, we consider the value of V<sub>DD</sub> that the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors predicts for high performance logic in 2015-2016 [29]. Analogously, the current in the OFF state  $I_{off}$  has been set to 100 nA/µm.

In the sub-threshold regime, as expected, the sub-threshold swing (SS) is equal to 60 mV/dec, due to the adopted double gate geometry, which assures good gate control over the channel barrier. As can be seen, all devices are able to provide large currents and almost present similar transfer-characteristics as well as the same transconductance (derivative of the transfer characteristic with respect to gate-to-source voltage ( $V_{GS}$ ), except for graphone PMOS, which shows degraded performance. This can be explained by its larger quantum capacitance  $C_Q$  in (Fig. 3c), where  $C_Q$  for the four considered devices is depicted as a function of the charge density in correspondence of the channel. As can be seen, in the above threshold

regime (charge density larger than  $10^{-2}$  C/m<sup>2</sup>), the graphone PMOS shows large  $C_Q$ , greater than the electrostatic capacitance ( $C_{el} = 6.9 \times 10^{-2}$  F/m<sup>2</sup>).

From Fig. 3c, one can also extract information concerning the effective mass. Quantum capacitance is indeed proportional in the flat region to the effective mass of the particle in the 2DEG [30]. As also confirmed by the curvature of the bends (which is inversely proportional to the effective mass), lighter particles are those in correspondence of the valence band of graphane and of the conduction band of graphone, while particles in graphone valence band are the heaviest ones. In Fig. 3d we show the drain-to-source current as a function of  $V_{DS}$ : the  $V_{GS}$  step is equal to 0.2 V.

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 4. Color map of  $I_{on}/I_{off}$  ratios and injection velocity for graphane and graphone NMOS and PMOS devices as a function of  $t_{ox1}$  and  $t_{ox2}$ .

In Fig. 4 shows that the  $I_{on}/I_{off}$  ratio and the injection velocity for the four considered devices as a function of the top and bottom oxide thickness. Since  $I_{off}$  is fixed ( $I_{off} = 100 \text{ nA/}\mu\text{m}$ ),  $I_{on}$  can be directly extracted from the color maps. The isoclines for  $I_{on}/I_{off} = 1.7 \times 10^4$ , which is the ratio required by the ITRS for the 2015-2016 technology, are also highlighted. As can be seen, all the devices manage to provide large ratios, even when considering top gate oxide thicknesses of almost 1 nm, and large bottom gate oxide thickness.

Injection velocity  $(U_{inj})$  has been instead computed in correspondence of  $V_{GS} = V_{on}$ , defined as  $V_{on} = V_{off} + V_{DD}$ , where  $V_{off}$  is the  $V_{GS}$  at which the current is equal to  $I_{off}$  and represents the velocity of thermally emitted electrons from the reservoirs to the channel. Holes in graphone PMOS show the slowest velocity, in accordance with the above considerations, while the fastest particles are holes in graphane PMOS.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, a multi-scale approach has been adopted in order to assess potential of functionalized graphene as channel material for next-generation high-performance Field-Effect Transistors. To achieve this task, calculations within the GW approximation have been performed in order to compute accurate energy bands and band-gaps, which, in the case of graphone, has been demonstrated to differ by more than 2.7 eV from previous results. Tight-binding Hamiltonians for graphane and graphone have been fitted with DFT results in order to

feed a semiclassical model, able to compute transport in the whole Brillouin Zone. Results have shown that graphane and graphone based FETs can provide large current as well as  $I_{off}/I_{off}$  ratios, and can represent a promising option for future technology nodes.

## Acknowledgement

This work is supported by University Grants Commission, New Delhi (Grant No. PDFSS-2011-12-SC-UTT-2848), India. The authors are thankful to Prof. Jai Shanker, Department of Physics, Institute of Basic Science, Khandari Campus, Agra.

# References

- Elias D., Nair, T.M.G.M.R.R., Morozov, S.V. Blake P., Halsall, M.P., Ferrari, A.C., Boukhvalov, D.W., Katsnelson, M.I., Geim, A. and Novoselov, K.S., *Science*, **323** (2009).
- 2. Sofo, J.O., Chaudhari, A.S. and Barber, G.D., Phys, Rev. B, 75, 401 (2007).
- 3. Boukhvalov, D.W., Katsnelson, M.I. and Lichtenstein, A.I., *Phys. Rev. B.*, 77, 427 (2008).
- 4. Lebegue, S., Klintenberg, M., Eriksoon, O. and Katsnelson, M.I., Phys. Rev. B, 79, 117 (2009).
- 5. Nakamura, J., Arimura, N., Hirayama, M. and Natori, A., Appl. Phys. Lett., 94, 107 (2009).
- 6. Flores, M., Autreto, P., Lezoas, S. and Galva, D., Nanotechnology, 20, 704 (2009).
- 7. Zhou, J., Wang, Q., Sun, Q., Chen, X. S., Kawaioe, Y. and Jena, P., Nano Lett., 9 (2009).
- 8. Singh, A. K. and Yokobson, B.I., Nano Lett., 9 (2009).
- 9. Son, Y., Cohen, M. and Louie, S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 97, 803 (2006).
- 10. Klintenberg, M., Lebegue, S., Katsnelson, M. I. and Eriksson, O., Phys. Rev., B, 81, 433 (2010).
- 11. Lu, N., Li, Z. and Yang, J., J. Phys. Chem. C, 113, 741 (2009).
- 12. Yang, C.K., Appl. Phys. Lett., 94, 115 (2009).
- 13. Gharekhanlou, B. and Khorasani, S., IEEE Trans. Electr. Dev., 57 (2009).
- 14. Lannaccone, G., Fiori, G., Macucci, M., Miichetti, P., Cheli, M., Betti, A. and Marconcini, P., *Proc. Of IEEEE Int. Electr. Dev. Meeting*, **245** (2009).
- 15. Perdew, J.P., Burke, L. and Emzorhof, M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 77, 3865 (1996).
- 16. Hedin, L., Phys. Rev., 139, 796 (1965).
- 17. Hedin, L. and Lundquist, S., Solid State Physics, 23, 1 (1969).
- 18. Kresse, G. and Furthmuller, J., Phys. Rev. B, 54, 169 (1996).
- 19. Kresse, G. and Joubert, D., Phys. Rev. B, 59 (1999).
- 20. Hohenberg, P. and Kohn, W., Phys. Rev. B, 136, 864 (1964).
- 21. Kohn, W. and Sham, L., Phys. Rev. A, 140, 1133 (1965).
- 22. Blochl, P.E., Phys. Rev. B, 50, 17953 (1994).
- 23. Shishkin, MM. and Kresse, G., Phys. Rev. B, 74 (2002).
- 24. Lebegue, S., Arnaud, B., Alouani, M. and Blochl, P.E., Phys. Rev. B, 67, 208 (2003).
- 25. Koswatta, S., Neophytou, N., Kienle, D., Fiori, G. and Lundstrom, M., *IEEE Trans on Nano-Technology*, 5, 386 (2006).
- 26. Fiori, G., Lannaccone, G. and Klimeck, G., IEEE Trans. Electr. Dev., 53, 1782 (2006).
- 27. Fiori, G. and Lannaccone, G., IEEE Electr. Dev. Lett., 28, 760 (2007).
- 28. \_\_\_\_\_, IEEE Electr. Dev. Lett., 30, 261 (2009).
- 29. [Online]. Available: http://www.itrs.net/Links/2009ITRS/Home2009.htm
- 30. Luryi, S., Appl. Phys. Lett., 52, 501 (1988).