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In this paper I have defined a curve which is such that its osculating plane contains the vectors $\frac{d^{3} \lambda^{i}}{d s^{3}}$, where $\lambda^{i}$ are the contra-variant components of a unit vector in the direction of the line $\ell$ of the congruence passing through a point $P$. We have called such a curve as $\lambda_{0}$-curve in an Euclidean space of three dimensions and studied some of its curvature properties.

## Introduction

Let $S:=x^{i}=x^{i}\left(u^{\alpha}\right),(i=1,2,3$ and $\alpha=1,2)$, be the surface of reference of a rectilinear congruence, a line $\ell$ of which is given by the direction cosines

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{i}=\lambda^{i}(u \alpha), \quad \lambda^{i} \cdot \lambda^{i}=1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $x^{i}$ and $\lambda^{i}$ are continuous along with their partial derivatives up to the required oreder. At any point $P\left(x^{i}\right)$ of $S, \lambda^{i}$ is expressible as [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{i}=p^{\alpha} x_{a}^{i}+q X^{i} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\alpha}$ are the contra-variant components of a vector in $S$ at $P$ and $q$ is a scalar function, $X^{i}$ are the direction cosines of the normal to $S$ at $P$ and $x_{\alpha}^{i}$ denotes the covariant derivatives of $x^{i}$ with respect to $u^{\alpha}$ based on the fundamental tensor of $S, g_{\alpha \beta}=x_{\alpha}^{i} \cdot x_{\beta}^{i}$.

The Gauss and Weingarten equations are given by Eisenhart [1] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\alpha \beta}^{i}=d_{\alpha \beta} X^{i}, X_{\alpha}^{i}=-d_{\alpha \beta} g_{\beta \delta} x_{\delta}^{i} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{\alpha \beta}$ is the second fundamental tensor of the surface $S$.
Let us consider a curve $C: x^{i}=x^{i}(s)$ on $S$, then the intrinsic derivatives of $x^{i}, \frac{d x^{i}}{d s}$ and $\frac{d^{2} x^{i}}{d s^{2}}$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime i}=\frac{d x^{i}}{d s}=x_{, \alpha}^{i} u^{\prime \alpha}, x^{\prime \prime i}=\frac{d^{2} x^{i}}{d s^{2}}=\rho^{\alpha} x^{i},{ }_{\alpha}+X^{i} k_{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=\left(\rho^{\alpha},{ }_{\beta}-k_{n} d_{\beta \theta} g^{\theta \alpha}\right) x^{i},{ }_{\alpha} u^{\beta}+\left(k_{n, \beta}+\rho^{\alpha} d_{\alpha \beta}\right) X^{i} u^{\beta} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where primes indicate the differentiation with respect to arc-length $s, p^{\alpha}$ are the components of the geodesic curvature vector of the curve $C$ in two dimensional Euclidean space and $k_{\alpha}$ is the normal curvature of the surface in the direction of the curve $C$ [1]. For a normal
congruence equation (1.2) gives $p^{\alpha}=0$ and $q=1$, while for a congruence formed of tangents to a one parameter family of curves $q=0$ and $p^{\alpha}$ is a unit vector in a two dimensional Euclidean space.

## Intrinsic derivatives of vectors $\lambda^{t}$

$S_{i m}$imilar to equations (1.4) and (1.5) we can also obtain for a vector $\lambda^{i}$ in the direction of the curves of the congruence- $\lambda$, following;

Intrinsic derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{i}=\frac{d \lambda^{i}}{d s}=\lambda_{, \alpha}^{i} u^{\prime \alpha}=\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma} x_{, \gamma}^{i}+v_{\alpha} X^{i}\right) u^{\prime \alpha} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma}=p^{\gamma},{ }_{\alpha}-q d_{\alpha \beta} g^{\beta \gamma}, v_{\alpha}=q,_{\alpha}+p^{\beta} d_{\alpha \beta} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $\lambda_{, \alpha}^{i}$ covariantly with respect to $\mathrm{u}^{\prime \beta}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{, \alpha \beta}^{i}=M_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} x^{i}{ }_{, \gamma}+N_{\alpha \beta} X^{i},  \tag{2.3}\\
& M_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=\mu_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma}-v_{\alpha} d_{\beta \theta} g^{\theta \gamma}, N_{\alpha \beta}=v_{\alpha, \beta}+\mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma} d_{\gamma \beta} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and
Since we know that $\lambda^{i}$ is unit vector, therefore we can obtain $\lambda^{i} \cdot \lambda^{i}{ }_{, \alpha}=0$, which gives $p_{\gamma}$ $\mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma}+q v_{\alpha}=0$. The intrinsic derivative of $\frac{d \lambda^{i}}{d s}$, represented by $\lambda^{\prime \prime i}$ along $C$ can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime \mathrm{i}}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} \mathrm{u}^{\prime \alpha} u^{\prime \beta}+\mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma} u^{\prime \prime \alpha}\right) x^{i}{ }_{, y}+\left(\mathrm{N}_{\alpha \beta} u^{\prime \alpha} u^{\prime \beta}+v_{\alpha} u^{\prime \prime \alpha}\right) X^{i} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\gamma} M^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta}+q N_{\alpha \beta}+\mu_{\delta}^{\alpha} \mu_{\beta}^{\delta}+v_{\alpha} v_{\beta}=0$, which is a consequence of $p_{\gamma} \mu^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha}+q v_{\alpha}=0$. From equation (2.3) we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{, \alpha \beta \gamma}^{i}=\left(M_{\alpha \beta, \gamma}^{\theta}-N_{\alpha \beta} d_{\gamma \delta} g^{\delta \theta}\right) x_{, \theta}^{i}+X^{i}\left(M_{\alpha \beta}^{\theta} d_{\theta \gamma}+N_{\alpha \beta, \gamma}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& q\left\{M_{\alpha \beta}^{\theta}\left(q d_{\theta \gamma}+\mu_{\theta \gamma}-p_{\theta, \gamma}\right)+M_{\beta \gamma}^{\theta} \mu_{\theta \alpha}-M_{\beta \theta}, \gamma \mu_{\alpha}^{\theta}\right\} \\
& -\mu_{\alpha}^{\theta} \mu_{\varphi}^{\beta} d_{\theta \gamma} p_{\varphi}-N_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mu_{\gamma}^{\theta} p_{\theta}+q p^{\theta} d_{\theta \gamma}+q q_{, \gamma}\right)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The intrinsic derivative of $\frac{d^{2} \lambda^{i}}{d s^{2}}$ along $C$ which is represented by $\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}$ can be obtained in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{i}},{ }_{\gamma} \mathrm{A}^{\gamma}+\mathrm{B} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{i}}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad A^{\gamma}=\left[\mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma} u^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{\alpha}+\mu^{\prime \prime \prime} \alpha u^{\prime} \beta\left(2 M^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta}+M^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta \alpha}\right)+u^{\prime \alpha} u^{\beta \beta} u^{\prime \delta}\left(M^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta, \delta}-N_{\alpha \beta} d^{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\right]$
and $\quad B=\left[v_{\alpha} u^{\prime \prime \prime \alpha}+u^{\prime \prime \alpha} u^{\prime \beta}\left(2 N_{\alpha \beta}+N_{\beta \alpha}\right)+u^{\prime \alpha} u^{\prime \beta} u^{\prime \delta}\left(d_{\gamma \delta} M^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta}+N_{\alpha \beta}, \delta\right)\right]$

## $\lambda_{0}$-curves

Definition 3.1: A curve $C$ on the surface $S$ hall be called $\lambda_{0}$-curve in an Euclidean space of three dimensions if the osculating plane at any point $P$ of $C$ contains the vector $\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{i}$.

The equation of osculating plane at any point $P$ of $C$ can be written as [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i j k}^{123}\left(x^{i}-x^{i}\right)\left(u^{\prime \sigma} x^{j},{ }_{\sigma}\right)\left(\rho^{\alpha} x^{k},{ }_{\alpha}+X^{k} k_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' $x^{i}$ are current coordinates of a point in Euclidean space of three dimensions and $\rho^{\alpha}$, the first curvature vector is expressed as [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\alpha}=u^{\prime \prime \alpha}+\left\{^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma}\right\} u^{\beta} u^{\gamma} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}$ iies in the plane (3.1), equation ' $x^{i}=x^{i}+t \lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}$, must hold for all $t$. Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i j k}^{123}\left(u^{\prime \sigma} x^{j},{ }_{\sigma}\right)\left(\rho^{\alpha} x^{k}{ }_{, \alpha}+X^{k} k_{\alpha}\right)\left(x^{i}{ }_{\gamma} A^{\gamma}+B X^{i}\right)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i j k}^{123} X^{i} x^{j}{ }_{, \sigma} X^{k}=0, \delta_{i j k}^{123} x^{i}{ }_{\gamma} x^{i}{ }_{, \sigma} x^{k}{ }_{, \alpha}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation (3.3), we obtain on simplification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i j k}^{123} X^{i}, x^{j},{ }_{\sigma} u^{\prime \sigma} x^{k},{ }_{\alpha}\left(B \rho^{\alpha}-k_{n} A^{\alpha}\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing (3.5) for $\sigma$ and $\alpha$ and neglecting non-zero terms and using $e_{12}=-e_{21}=1$ and $e_{11}=e_{22}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\sigma \alpha} u^{\prime \sigma}\left(B \rho^{\alpha}-k_{n} A^{\alpha}\right)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have:
Theorem 3.1: The differential equation of $\lambda_{0}$-curves, in an Euclidean space of three dimensions is given by equation (3.6)

If either $C$ be a geodesic or $B=0$, the equation (3.6) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\sigma \alpha} u^{\prime \sigma} A^{\alpha}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that either $k_{n}=0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\sigma \alpha} u^{\prime \sigma} A^{\alpha}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (3.2) for a geodesic curve, equation (3.8) can be represented by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\alpha \gamma} u^{\prime \sigma} u^{\prime \theta} u^{\prime \varphi} u^{\prime \delta}\left[2\left\{^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \delta}\right\}\right\}\left\{^{\beta}{ }_{\theta \varphi}\right\} \mu_{\alpha}^{\gamma}-\mu_{\beta}^{\gamma}\left\{^{\beta}{ }_{\theta \varphi}\right\}, \delta, \\
& \quad-\left\{\left\{_{\theta \varphi}^{\alpha}\right\}\left({ }^{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha, \delta}+M_{\alpha \delta}^{\prime}+M_{\delta \alpha}^{\gamma}-d_{\delta \beta} g^{\beta \gamma} v_{\alpha}\right)+M_{\theta \varphi, \delta}^{\gamma}-N_{\theta \varphi} d_{\delta \alpha} g^{\alpha \gamma}\right]=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

If we consider that the $\lambda_{0}$-curves are either asymptotic lines or satisfy equation (3.8), equation (3.6) leads to $e_{\sigma \alpha} u^{\prime \sigma} B \rho^{\alpha}=0$, i.e., either it is a geodesic curve or $B=0$, i.e., it is a generalized Darboux curve Rastogi and Bajpai [2]. Hence we have:

Theorem 3.2: The necessary and sufficient condition for a $\lambda_{0}$-curve to be either a geodesic curve i.e., $u^{1} \rho^{2}=u^{\prime 2} \rho^{1}$ or be a generalized Darboux curve, is that it is either an asymptotic line or satisfies equation (3.8).

## $\lambda_{0}$-CURVATURE of a curve on $S$

The equation (3.6) is the single differential equation of $\lambda_{0}$-curves. The equation of $\lambda_{0}$-curves can alternatively be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{1} \equiv B \rho^{1}+k_{n} \mathrm{e}_{\sigma \alpha} u^{\prime \sigma} A^{\alpha} g_{2 \beta} u^{\beta \beta}=0  \tag{4.1}\\
& T^{2} \equiv B \rho^{2}-k_{n} e_{\sigma \alpha} u^{\prime \sigma} A^{\alpha} g_{1 \beta} u^{\beta}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In analogy with the definition of union curvature [3], we define the vector with contravariant components $T^{\alpha}$ as the $\lambda_{0}$-curvature vector and the magnitude of this vector shall be called $\lambda_{0}$-curvature. From equation (3.1), we can observe the following

Theorem 4.1: The $\lambda_{0}$-curvature vector in an Euclidean space of three dimensions is a null vector at each point of the $\lambda_{0}$-curve.

Using $\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta}=\left(x^{i}{ }_{, \alpha} x^{i}{ }_{, \beta} X^{j}\right)=g e_{\alpha \beta}$, the $\lambda_{0}$-curvature of the curve is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}=\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} u^{\prime \alpha} \mathrm{T}^{\beta} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} u^{\prime \alpha} u^{\prime \beta}=0$, therefore with the help of equation (4.1), we can write (4.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}=\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} u^{\prime \alpha}\left(B \rho^{\beta}-k_{n} A^{\beta}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (4.3), we can easily obtain.
Theorem 4.2: The ratio of $K_{0}$-curvature of a generalized Darboux curve and normal curvature to the surface is given by $\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} u^{\prime \alpha} A^{\beta}$.

If $k_{g}=\varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} u^{\prime \alpha} \rho^{\beta}$, be the geodesic curvature, from equation (4.3), we can observe that the geodesic curvature along a $\lambda_{0}$-curve $\left(K_{0}=0\right)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B k_{g}=k_{n} \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta} u^{\prime \alpha} A^{\beta} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is analogy to the geometrical interpretation of union curvature, Springer [3], gives
Theorem 4.3: The $K_{0}$-curvature of a curve $C$ at any point $P$ on a surface of reference $S$ of a rectilinear congruence is the curvature of $C$ obtain by projecting $C$ onto the tangent plane to $S$ at $P$, in the direction of $\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }^{i}$.

## Curvature of a $\lambda_{0}$-Curve

Let $\alpha^{i}, \beta^{i}$ and $\gamma^{i}$ be respectively the direction cosines of unit tangent, principal normal and binormal to a $\lambda_{0}$-curve $C$, then we can express $\beta^{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{i}=a \alpha^{i}+b \lambda^{\prime \prime \prime} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi$ be the angle between the vectors $\alpha^{i}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}$, then for $D\left|\lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=-\cot \psi, b=D \operatorname{cosec} \psi \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (5.1) and (5.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{i}=\operatorname{cosec} \psi\left(D \lambda^{\prime \prime \prime}-\alpha^{i} \cos \psi\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we know that $x^{\prime \prime \prime}=k \beta^{i}$, therefore substituting from equation (1.3), we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\alpha} x^{i},{ }_{\alpha}+X^{i} k_{n}=k \operatorname{cosec} \psi\left[D\left(x^{i},{ }_{\alpha} A^{\alpha}+B X^{i}\right)-x^{i},{ }_{\alpha} u^{\prime \alpha} \cos \psi\right] \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (5.4) by $X^{i}, g^{\delta \beta} x^{i},{ }_{\delta} \varepsilon_{\tau \beta} u^{\tau \tau}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime i}$ respectively and solving, we get the following expressions for the curvature $k$ of a $\lambda_{0}$-curve

$$
\begin{align*}
& k=(B D)^{-1} k_{n} \sin \psi  \tag{5.5}\\
& k=\left(D \varepsilon_{\tau \beta} u^{\prime \tau} A^{\beta}\right)^{-1} k_{g} \sin \psi \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\quad k=\sin \psi\left(\rho^{\alpha} A^{\alpha}+B k_{n}\right)\left[A^{\alpha}\left(D A^{\alpha}-u^{\prime \alpha} \cos \psi\right)+D B^{2}\right]^{-1}$
Multiplying equation (5.1) by $\beta_{i}$, we can obtain on simplification

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=b\left(\rho^{\alpha} A^{\alpha}+B k_{n}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $b$ from equation (5.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=D \operatorname{cosec} \psi\left(\rho^{\alpha} A^{\alpha}+B k_{n}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D$ and $\operatorname{cosec} \psi$ can not vanish, therefore from equation (5.9), we can obtain
Theorem 5.1: The necessary and sufficient condition for the curvature $k$ of a $\lambda_{0}$-curve to vanish is given by the vanishing of $p^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}+B k_{n}$

Since we know that $-\left(k \alpha^{i}+\tau \gamma^{i}\right)=k^{-1} x^{"{ }^{\prime}}$, therefore using equation (1.5), we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma^{I}=-(k \tau)^{-1} u^{, \beta}\{ & A^{\alpha}\left(\rho^{\alpha}{ }_{, \beta}-k_{n} d^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\right) x^{i},{ }_{\alpha} \\
& +B\left(K_{n, \beta}+\rho^{\alpha} d_{\alpha \beta} X^{i}\right\}-k \tau^{-1} x^{i}{ }_{, \alpha} u^{, \alpha} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{2}=-\left[u^{\rho \beta}\left\{A_{\alpha}\left(\rho^{\alpha}{ }_{, \beta}-k_{n} d^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\right)+B\left(k_{n, \beta}+\rho^{\alpha} d_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right\} /\left(A_{\alpha} u^{\prime \alpha}\right)\right. \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Theorem 5.1, to equation (5.11), we obtain on simplification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\rho^{\alpha}\left(A_{\alpha, \beta}-B d_{\alpha \beta}\right)+k_{n}\left(B_{, \beta}+A_{\alpha} d^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\right)\right\} u^{\rho \beta}=0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have:
Theorem 5.2: The necessary and sufficient condition for the curvature $k$ of a $\lambda_{0}-$ curve to vanish is given by (5.12).

## Torsion of a $\lambda_{0}$-Curve

Differentiating the identity $\gamma^{i}=\alpha^{i} x \beta^{I}$, with respect to $s$ and using equation (5.3) and the Frenet formula $\mathrm{d} \gamma^{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{ds}=\tau \beta^{\mathrm{I}}$ Eisenhart [1], we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau\left(D \lambda "^{\prime \prime}-\cos \psi d x^{i} / d s\right)=\left\{\left(d x^{i} / d s\right) x \lambda^{",}\right\} & \left\{D^{\prime}-D \cot \psi(d \psi / \mathrm{ds})\right\} \\
& +D\left\{d^{2} x^{i} / d s^{2} x \lambda^{\prime,,^{i}}+\left(d x^{i} / d s\right) x \lambda^{(4) i}\right\} \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the values of $\lambda^{\cdots}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ and $d^{2} x^{i} / d s^{2}$ in equation (6.1) and multiplying the resulting equation $X^{i}$, we obtain on simplification the torsion of a $\lambda_{0}$-curve as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau(B D)^{-1} \varepsilon_{\alpha \beta}\left[\left\{D^{\prime}-D \cot \psi(d \psi / d s)\right\} A^{\beta} u^{\alpha}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+D\left\{A^{\beta} \rho^{\alpha}+\left(A^{\alpha},{ }_{\theta}-B d^{\alpha}{ }_{\theta}\right) u^{, \theta} u^{, \beta}\right\}\right] \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of equation (5.6) and (6.2), we can obtain a relationship between the curvature $k$ and the torsion $\tau$.

## Some special cases

Cash I: Normal Congruence. Let us consider that the congruence be normal, then in that case we have $p_{\alpha}=0, q=1, \lambda^{i}=X^{i}, \mu^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha}=-d^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}=0, M^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=-d^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha, \beta}, N_{\alpha \beta}=-d^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha} d_{\gamma \beta}$ and equation (2.6) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{\sigma \alpha} u^{, \sigma}\left[k_{n} u^{", \gamma} d^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}-u^{\prime \prime} u^{, \beta}\left\{\rho^{\alpha}\left(2 d^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\delta} d_{\gamma \beta}+d^{\prime}{ }_{\beta} d^{\gamma}{ }_{\delta}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.-k_{n}\left(2 d^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta, \beta}+d^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta, \delta, \delta}\right)\right\}-u^{\prime \theta} u^{\beta \beta} u^{\prime \delta}\left\{\rho^{\alpha}\left(d_{\gamma \delta} d^{\gamma}{ }_{\theta, \beta}+d^{\gamma}{ }_{\theta} d_{\gamma \beta, \delta}+d_{\theta, \delta}^{\prime} d_{\gamma \beta}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad-k_{n}\left(d^{\alpha}{ }_{\theta, \beta, \delta}-d^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta} d^{\prime}{ }_{\theta} d_{\gamma \beta}\right)\right\}\right] \quad \ldots(6.3) \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have

Theorem 7.1: For a normal congruence in an Euclidean space of three dimensions; $\lambda_{0}$-curves satisfy equation (7.1).

Case II : Congruence formed by tangents to a one parameter family of curves. In such a case, we have $q=0, \rho^{\alpha}$ is a unit vector, $\lambda^{i}=x^{i},{ }_{\alpha} \rho^{\alpha}, u^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha}=\rho^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}=\rho^{\beta} d_{\alpha \beta}$ and hence equation (3.6) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{\sigma \alpha} u^{\prime \sigma}\left[u^{\prime \prime \prime \gamma}\left(d_{\gamma \beta} \rho^{\alpha} p^{\beta}-k_{n} \rho^{\alpha}{ }_{, \gamma}\right)+u^{\prime \prime \delta} u^{\beta}\left\{\rho ^ { \alpha } \left(2 \rho^{\gamma}{ }_{, \delta} d_{\gamma \beta}+2\left(\rho^{\theta} d_{\delta \theta}\right),{ }^{\beta}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\rho^{\gamma}{ }_{, \beta} d_{\gamma \delta}+\left(\rho^{\theta} \cdot d_{\beta \theta}\right),{ }_{\delta}\right)-k_{n}\left(2 \rho^{\alpha},{ }_{\delta, \beta}-2 \rho^{\theta} d_{\delta \theta} d^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}+\rho^{\alpha}{ }_{, \beta, \delta}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\rho^{\theta} d_{\beta \theta} d^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta}\right)\right\}+u^{\prime \varphi} u^{\beta} u^{\prime \delta}\left\{\left\{\rho^{\alpha} d_{\gamma \delta}\left(\rho^{\gamma},{ }_{\varphi, \beta}-\rho^{\theta} d_{\varphi \theta} d^{\prime}{ }_{\beta}\right)+\rho^{\alpha}\left(\rho^{\gamma}{ }_{, \varphi, \delta} d_{\gamma \beta}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\rho^{\gamma}{ }_{, \varphi} d_{\gamma \beta, \delta}+\left(\rho^{\theta} d_{\varphi \theta}\right)_{, \beta, \delta}\right)\right\}-k_{n}\left\{\rho^{\alpha}{ }_{, \varphi, \beta, \delta}-\rho^{\theta}{ }_{, \delta} d_{\varphi \theta} d^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}-\rho^{\theta} d_{\varphi \theta, \delta}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.d^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}-\rho^{\theta} \cdot d_{\varphi \theta} d^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta, \delta}-d^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta}\left(\rho \theta, \varphi \operatorname{d} \beta+\left(\rho^{\theta} d_{\varphi \theta}\right),{ }_{\beta}\right)\right\}\right\}\right]=0 \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have:
Theorem 7.2: In an Euclidean space of three dimensions, for a congruence formed by tangents to a one parameter family of curves, $\lambda_{0}$-curves satisfy equation (7.2).
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